Osteoarthritis

Osteoartrite



Duarte PEREIRA^{1,2,3}, Elisabete RAMOS^{1,2}, Jaime BRANCO^{4,5} Acta Med Port 2015 Jan-Feb;28(1):99-106

ABSTRACT

Osteoarthritis is nowadays one of the most frequent chronic diseases and, with the increase in life expectancy, both its prevalence and incidence is expected to rise. This condition is progressive and leads to functional decline and loss in quality of life, with important health care and society costs. A review of relevant and recent literature on osteoarthritis was performed in PubMed. The purpose of this study is to understand important aspects about osteoarthritis estimates, burden of disease, pathophysiology, risk factors, diagnosis and treatment.

Keywords: Osteoarthritis/diagnosis; Osteoarthritis/epidemiology; Osteoarthritis/physiopathology; Osteoarthritis/therapy; Portugal; Risk Factors.

RESUMO

A osteoartrose é uma das doenças crónicas mais frequentes na atualidade e, com o aumento da esperança de vida, quer a sua prevalência quer a sua incidência tendem a aumentar. Esta patologia é progressiva e condiciona perda funcional e de qualidade de vida, com importante impacto em termos sociais e de consumo de recursos de saúde. Foi efectuada uma pesquisa na PubMed de literatura relevante e recente acerca da osteoartrose. O objectivo deste estudo é descrever aspectos importantes relacionados com as estimativas da doença, custos associados, patofisiologia, factores de risco, diagnóstico e tratamento da osteoartrose.

Palavras-chave: Factores de Risco; Osteoartrose/diagnóstico; Osteoartrose/epidemiologia; Osteoartrose/patofisiologia; Osteoartrose/tratamento: Portugal.

Osteoarthritis: definition and diagnosis

Osteoarthritis is the most frequent musculoskeletal disease and leads to functional decline and loss in quality of life. Clinically, the condition is characterized by joint pain, tenderness, crepitus, stiffness and limitation of movement with occasional effusion and variable degrees of local inflammation. The pain in osteoarthritis is frequently activity-related; constant pain frequently becomes a feature later in the disease. Pain in osteoarthritis is not simply attributable to the structural changes in the affected joint, but the result of interplay between structural change, peripheral and central pain processing mechanisms.

There is also the emerging idea about the importance of understanding both biological and psychosocial factors in the assessment and treatment of osteoarthritis. A recent study identified that osteoarthritis related pain needs to be considered and interpreted in accordance with the patient's psychological status.⁴

However, pain is not the only consequence of osteoarthritis experienced by patients. Pain is linked with function, with physical movements triggering pain, while pain, in turn, causes limitations in physical function.⁵ Joint stiffness particularly in the morning is also frequent; sensation of instability or buckling and also an audible and palpable 'cracking' or 'crunching' over a joint during its

active or passive movement are also common specially in later stages.³ This can be caused by pain, effusion, capsular contractures, muscle spasm or weakness, intra-articular loose bodies, mechanical constraints and joint deformity/ misalignment.⁵

Functional disability is another key element in osteoarthritis. It is frequently associated with articular limitation, stiffness and crepitus.⁶ Osteoarthritis causes changes in mobility and function; Patients frequently experience physical limitations, difficulties with personal care, work ability and even problems with maintaining their household.^{6,7}

In a clinical setting, osteoarthritis diagnosis is normally made using established methods such as radiographic changes and clinical guidelines, which are used as a 'diagnostic reference'.8

Evidence-based recommendations were defined by the European League Against Rheumatism and the American College of Rheumatology. Although the pathophysiological changes of osteoarthritis are well described, differences between joint sites should be considered to improve case ascertainment since differences can be expected in the way articular changes produce signs and symptoms within different joints.⁹⁻¹¹

The American College of Rheumatology guidelines

Recebido: 07 de Maio de 2014 - Aceite: 21 de Julho de 2014 | Copyright @ Ordem dos Médicos 2015

^{1.} Department of Clinical Epidemiology. Predictive Medicine and Public Health. Medical School. University of Porto. Porto. Portugal.

^{2.} Public Health Institute. University of Porto. Porto. Portugal.

^{3.} Physical Rehabilitation Medicine Department. Hospital S. João. Porto. Portugal.

^{4.} Rheumatology Department. Hospital Egas Moniz. Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Ocidental. Lisboa. Portugal.

^{5.} CEDOC. Nova Medical School. Faculdade de Ciências Médicas. Universidade Nova de Lisboa. Lisboa. Portugal.

for hand osteoarthritis include the presence of hand pain, aching, or stiffness and 3 out of 4 of the following features (hard tissue enlargement of 2 or more of 10 selected joints; hard tissue enlargement of 2 or more distal interphalangeal joints; fewer than 3 swollen metacarpo-phalangeal joints; or deformity of at least 1 out of 10 selected joints). For hip osteoarthritis, the presence of hip pain and at least 2 of the following 3 features: erithrocyte sedimentary rate <20 mm/hour; radiographic femoral or acetabular osteophytes; or radiographic joint space narrowing. For the knee, there are 3 possible diagnosis recommendations (clinical, clinical plus laboratory and clinical plus radiographic). Clinical plus radiographic requires: the presence of osteophytes plus knee pain and at least 1 out of 3 of the following aspects: age > 50 years, stiffness < 30 minutes and crepitus. For the knee of the following aspects:

The European League Against Rheumatism evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of osteoarthritis state that, although specific aspects are defined for each joint, a confident diagnosis may be made according to symptoms (pain, stiffness and functional limitation) and signs on examination (crepitus, restricted movement and bony enlargement/deformation); plain radiography provides the morphological assessment of osteo-articular changes (but may be not necessary in some cases) and occasionally other investigations may be considered for the diagnosis of atypical situations or to exclude other possible conditions. 15-17

Biomarkers provide useful diagnostic information by detecting cartilage degradation in osteoarthritis, reflecting disease-relevant biological activity and predicting the course of disease progression. But, although some biological markers of joint metabolism might be significantly increased in a group of patients with osteoarthritis, these markers cannot be used as diagnostic tests in individual patients. Although several studies are underway, currently there are no reliable, quantifiable and easily measured biomarkers that provide an earlier diagnosis of osteoarthritis, information on the prognostic of disease and which can monitor responses to therapeutic modalities. Se

Osteoarthritis Epidemiology

Osteoarthritis is the most common musculoskeletal disorder worldwide²¹ and an increasingly important public health concern.²² Epidemiologic characterization of osteoarthritis is required as a basis for decisions on health prevention and treatment programs.²³

It is known that prior to age 40, the incidence is lower and most frequently is secondary, commonly due to trauma.⁶ The prevalence increases between 40 and 60 years, and there is a linear increase in the prevalence in later ages.⁵ Worldwide disease estimates show that that 9.6% of men and 18% of women of 60 or older probably have symptomatic osteoarthritis.²⁴

The estimates of osteoarthritis seem to change according to the case definition used but also by the specific joint(s) under study and the characteristics of the studied population.^{23,25,26} Results from a systematic review²⁷

presented in knee, prevalences that ranged from 6.3% in Greece²⁸ to 68.4% in the UK;²⁹ in hip, from 0.9% in Greece²⁸ to 23% in Croatia;³⁰ in hand from 2% in Greece²⁸ to 77.1% in Israel.³¹

In Portugal, the Instituto Nacional Dr. Ricardo Jorge, in a report on the most prevalent chronic diseases, identified that 24% of the participants reported suffering from some form of rheumatic disease.32 Particularly, as far as osteoarthritis is concerned, general data presented by the Liga Portuguesa Contra as Doenças Reumáticas estimates that probably 6% of the Portuguese population has the disease;33 the Direcção Geral da Saúde, in a report from 2003, described that the prevalence of osteoarthritis was approximately 3.8% in knee and 1.3% in hip.³⁴ Also in Portugal, Costa et al³⁵ study estimated that the self-reported prevalence of osteoarthritis in adults above 18 years old, in the knee was 11.1% [95% Confidence Interval (95% CI) CI: 9.4-13.1], [5.9% (95% CI: 3.9-8.6) in men and 14.2% (95% CI: 11.8-16.9) in women] and for the hip 5.5% (95% CI: 4.3-7.0), [2.2% (95% CI: 1.1-4.2) in men and 7.4% (95% CI: 5.7-9.5) in women]. Other important data is presented by the Observatório Nacional das Doenças Reumáticas (ONDOR) that estimated radiographic knee osteoarthritis, in subjects older than 40 years, was 56.9% [95% CI: 51.6-62.1] in men and 57.7% (95% CI: 63.3-62.0) in women; radiographic hip osteoarthritis was 54.8% (95% CI: 38.7-70.2) in men and 24.5% (95% CI: 20.4-28.9) in women; symptomatic disease estimates were 6.0% (95% CI: 3.7-9.2) in men and 15.8% (95% CI: 12.6-19.5) in women for knee; 2.4% (95% CI: 0.1-12.8) in men and 2.2% (95% CI: 1.0-4.1) in women, for hip.36 (Table 1).

There is relatively little information worldwide on the incidence of osteoarthritis compared to prevalence data. For example, in the USA, the age and sex standardized incidence rates for symptomatic osteoarthritis were 0.24 person-years for the knee, 0.09 person-years for hip and 0.1 person-years for the hand. Grotle et al, In Norway, found a cumulative incidence of 7.3% (95% CI: 5.7-9.0) in the knee, 5.8% (95% CI: 4.3-7.3) in hip and 5.6% (95% CI: 4.2-7.1) in hand joints. To our knowledge, in Portugal no published data is available for osteoarthritis incidence.

Burden of Disease

Estimates of osteoarthritis burden need to take into consideration economic, social and/or psychological costs or losses to the patient, family and/or society.⁴⁰ Although osteoarthritis is considered both a common and highly burdensome disease, few studies worldwide have focused on this issue.⁴¹ Moreover, the comparability across studies is quite limited, highlighting the importance of standardized methodologies in cost-of-illness studies.⁴⁰

Information on the impact and overall costs of this rheumatic disease involves direct, indirect and intangible costs measurement. Direct costs are defined as the resources used in research, prevention, detection, and treatment of disease.⁴² They comprise medical and non-medical costs and should also include patients' out-of-

Table 1 - Osteoarthritis estimates in Portugal

india i distanti di		
Author (Reference)	Year	Osteoarthritis Prevalence
Liga Portuguesa Contra as Doenças Reumáticas ³³	2013 (online data)	6% of the Portuguese population.
Direcção Geral da Saúde ³⁴	2003	Knee osteoarthritis prevalence of 3.8% and 1.3% of hip osteoarthritis.
Costa et al ³⁵	2004	Self-reported knee osteoarthritis of 11.1% in men and 14.2% in women; Self-reported hip osteoarthritis of 5.5% in men and 7.4% in women.
Observatório Nacional das Doenças Reumáticas (ONDOR) ³⁶	2010	Radiographic knee osteoarthritis of 56.9% in men and 57.7% in women; Radiographic hip osteoarthritis of 54.8% in men and 24.5% in women. Symptomatic knee osteoarthritis of 6.0% in men and 15.8% in women; Symptomatic hip osteoarthritis of 2.4% in men and 2.2% in women.

pocket expenses.⁴³ The indirect cost are those incurred not as a result of medical management of the disease but rather those incurred as losses: loss of productivity from reduced workforce participation, lost income, taxation revenue, and increased government support payments⁴⁴ and expenditure resulting from the need for home, family or assistance care.⁴¹

In a systematic review including 10 studies, after adjusting for 2005 US dollar, annual direct costs per patient were \$9147 in Hong Kong, \$4792 in USA, \$2878 in the Canada, \$1271 in Italy, and \$345 in France.⁴⁵ Regarding indirect costs, only 5 studies reported data: the highest indirect costs were \$9847 per patient, per year, in Canada and the lowest were \$864 in Hong Kong.⁴⁵ More recently, in Spain, Loza et al⁴⁶ found that the annual cost per patient was 1502 €; the direct costs represented 86% and the indirect 14% of the total expenditure.

Although osteoarthritis represents an important burden for individuals and health care systems.⁴³ in Portugal there is no published data on specific direct and indirect costs, to allow comparisons.³³ The Sociedade Portuguesa de Reumatologia estimates that in 1997 all rheumatic diseases were the first reason for a medical visit (23% of total cases), the first cause for early retirement and caused disability in 98% of patients with rheumatic disease.⁴⁷

Apart from the direct and indirect costs cited, there are also intangible costs such as pain, suffering and changes in patients' quality of life. 42.45 These are key issues to evaluate the burden of osteoarthritis, since this pathology can profoundly affect many aspects of the daily life of the individual, including quality of life, mental well-being and emotional relationships. 48 However, this kind of cost is even harder to evaluate and frequently not considered as cost-of-disease.

Pathophysiology

Osteoarthritis is an irreversible disease, which leads to pain and loss of joint function. The main characteristic of the disease is the loss of articular cartilage and subchondral bone sclerosis. 49 Histologically, the disease is characterized by early fragmentation of the cartilage surface, cloning of chondrocytes, vertical clefts in the cartilage, variable

crystal deposition, remodelling, and eventual violation of the tidemark by blood vessels.⁵⁰ But the degenerative disease process not only affects the articular cartilage but also involves the entire joint, including the subchondral bone, ligaments, capsule, synovial membrane and peri-articular muscles.⁵⁰ Bone remodeling and attrition occur relatively early in the disease process caused by fibrocartilage degeneration, chondro-osteophytes, protrusions, subsynovial inflammatory cells and synovium cell hyperplasia;⁵¹ activated synovium secretes excess synovial fluid, leading to capsular swelling. This swelling, through a spinal reflex, inhibits complete activation of muscles bridging the joint (arthrogenous inhibition) and this, combined with lack of use, leads to muscle weakness and atrophy.^{2,52}

In general, osteoarthritis may be a slow but efficient repair process that often compensates an initial trauma/ metabolic/systemic change, resulting in a structurally altered but symptom-free joint. In some people, however, either because of overwhelming insult or compromised repair potential, the process cannot be compensated, resulting in continuing tissue damage and eventual presentation with symptoms and 'joint failure'.⁵³

Osteoarthritis is a complex disease which, through a combination of structural, mechanical, and biological pathways, causes degeneration of the joint components, 28 It has a multi-factorial etiology and can be considered the product of interplay between systemic and local factors. 21,29

Risk Factors

The relative importance of risk factors may vary for different joints and according to the stages of the disease.^{21,23} It is also difficult to make a distinction between single and clustered risk factors associated with the disease development or progression.⁸

Genetic and genomic approaches have tried to find novel biological pathways involved in osteoarthritis.⁵⁴ Through twin studies it has been well-established that osteoarthritis and its endophenotypes are, to a large extent genetically determined, but the underlying genetic variants are mostly unknown.^{54,55} The genetic architecture is similar to other complex diseases with contributions of several or

even perhaps hundreds of genes, most of them having small effects and a few having large effects.^{54,55}

Genetic association approaches have shown to be fruitful in identifying underlying genetic factors. ^{2,23,54,56} The most consistently confirmed genetic association is for a gene coding secreted frizzled-related protein-3 (usually called FRZB), an association reported especially in relation to the risk of hip osteoarthritis in women. ⁵⁵

It is also important to remember that the early onset of osteoarthritis can be distinguished, which usually represents a monogenic Mendelian disease type and can be mapped by linkage analysis in families, or nowadays by exome sequencing of affected subjects. On the other hand, in late onset, which represents the most common form of osteoarthritis with a usual higher age of onset (> 60 years), genetic association approaches have been shown to be fruitful in identifying underlying genetic factors.^{2,23,54,56}

Age is the strongest predictor of osteoarthritis development.57 The vulnerabilities of a joint that occur as part of the aging process make it susceptible to disease.48 Age-related morphologic changes in articular cartilage are probably due to a decrease in chondrocytes' ability to maintain and repair the tissue; chondrocytes undergo agerelated decreases in mitotic and synthetic activity, exhibit decreased responsiveness to anabolic growth factors, and synthesize smaller and less uniform large aggregating proteoglycans and fewer functional link proteins.58 Diminished capacity for cartilage repair, hormonal changes and the cumulative effects of environmental exposures are also possible age-related mechanisms.9 Several studies support this associations: for example, incident knee osteophytes were found to increase by 20% per 5-year age increase;59 Andrianakos et al60 found age > 50 was a risk factor for knee, hip and hand osteoarthritis.

Independently of age, osteoarthritis occurrence differs by gender, with females presenting higher risk of developing the disease than men. Gender differences seem to be less marked in older ages.²⁵ The reason for these sex differences are unclear, but some explanations are plausible: articular chondrocytes possess functional estrogen receptors, and there is evidence that estrogen can up-regulate proteoglycans synthesis.⁵⁸ In support of a role for estrogens in osteoarthritis, there are studies indicating that estrogen replacement therapy may reduce the incidence of the disease⁶¹ although evidence is not always consistent.^{62,63} For example, as far as progression is concerned, one 4-year follow-up study showed no effect of estrogen plus progestin versus placebo on symptoms or disability in postmenopausal women.⁶⁴

Among the modifiable risk factors, overweight and obesity are recognised as risk factors both for the development⁴⁸ and for the progression of osteoarthritis,⁹ probably associated with the increase of load and stress in the joints and/or systemic changes.^{25,65,66} An increase in mechanical forces caused by overweight and obesity across weight-bearing joints is probably the primary factor contributing to accelerate the degenerative process.⁵⁸

Therefore this association is thought to be different according to the joint site involved. 60,67

Another possible way to explain the effect of body mass index (BMI) on osteoarthritis is the pro-inflammatory action of fat^{49,50}; a systemic low-grade inflammation and through this mechanism associated with osteoarthritis.^{49,50} Inflammation can be present as a local process but it can also have a systemic role.^{25,49} Emerging data showing a crucial role for adipocytes in the regulation of cells present in bone, cartilage, and other tissues of the joint could also may be implicated in the pathophysiology.⁶⁸ Other anthropometric measures, such as body composition, fat distribution and height, were also studied and, in general, a positive association was also found.^{69,70} Although they are less studied than weight and BMI, the independent effect of these variables is very difficult to measure since they are strongly related with weight.⁷¹

Excessive joint activity/stress is potentially aetiologically linked to osteoarthritis.⁷² Occupations involving repetitive, load-bearing activities are also associated with the development of the disease.⁴⁸ Manual/physical work was found to be a risk factor for knee,^{72,73} hip⁶⁷ and hand osteoarthritis.⁷⁴ Low socio-economic status measured by a low educational level is related with blue collar activities and thus with a higher prevalence of osteoarthritis.^{39,48,69}

Physical activity confers a range of health benefits including joint health, muscle strength and weight management. Moderate/leisure physical activity levels appear to protect from osteoarthritis; on the other hand, high physical activity levels seem to increase the risk. This is probably due to the higher risk of injuries associated with sports activities. For example, a history of regular sports participation was found to be a risk factor for knee osteoarthritis and a history of high-impact physical activity has been linked to the development of hand osteoarthritis. The strength and weight management.

Nutritional factors may also have an important role in osteoarthritis. Antioxidants are thought to confer protection against the disease progression and like in other age-related diseases48 Chondrocytes are potent sources of reactive oxygen species, which may damage cartilage collagen and synovial fluid hyaluronate, the macromolecule that accounts for the viscosity of synovial fluid.78 Since micronutrient antioxidants provide defence against tissue injury, high dietary intake of these micronutrients could be postulated to protect against osteoarthritis. Results indicate that a high intake of vitamin C may be associated with a lower risk of knee osteoarthritis progression, but does not appear to prevent the onset of disease. 79 There is also evidence from longitudinal studies that low dietary and serum levels of vitamin D may be associated with the development of hip osteoarthritis.76

High bone mineral density²⁵ is also thought to be related with osteoarthritis.^{80,81} However, this is not always clear and associations change in different populations, joint sites and can be mediated by other factors such as vitamin D status.⁸² For example, Zhang et al⁸³ found higher bone mineral density and bone mineral density gain decreased the risk

Table 2 - Differences between radiographic and magnetic resonance imaging in osteoarthritis evaluation

Radiographs	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
Low-cost exam	High-cost exam
Short time to execute and evaluate	Prolonged time to execute and evaluate
Most commonly used imaging technique	Not so frequently used, however the most precise imaging technique. Allows to confirm\exclude other diseases
Allows to evaluate joint space width and joint space narrowing	Images the joint as a whole organ
Only bone imaging	Bone, cartilage, menisci, labrum, ligaments and all soft tissues imaging
One-dimensionally evaluation	Three-dimensionally evaluation
Confirm disease diagnosis and some aspect of its progression	Understanding early disease onset and the natural history of the disease

of progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis, but was associated with an increased risk of incident knee disease.

The majority of people with osteoarthritis have at least one co-morbid condition. Age increases the prevalence of co-morbid conditions and the presence of co-morbidities increases the impact of osteoarthritis. Moreover, other diseases can be the cause of the development and progression of osteoarthritis. For example, congenital dislocated hip is associated with the development of hip osteoarthritis. Individuals with a history of joint injury or trauma are more likely to develop osteoarthritis both in the knee, And hip and hand. We need to be aware that there may be a reverse causal relation between other chronic diseases and osteoarthritis, but normally only for the secondary form of the disease.

Furthermore, it is also important to understand that several other mechanical risk factors can contribute to the pathogenesis; specific biomechanical joint factors can contribute to osteoarthritis, such as misalignments, proprioceptive deficiencies and muscle weakness.^{6,48,66}

As in other conditions, each aspect is thought to be a risk factor for osteoarthritis not just because of one of the aforementioned reasons, but as a result of a combination of them, 85 presenting a synergistic or cumulative effect. 25

Osteoarthritis Imaging

Osteoarthritis is a metabolically active, dynamic process that involves all joint tissues^{49,86} Radiography is used to asses these morphological changes; classical features are focal joint space narrowing, osteophytes, subchondral bone sclerosis and subchondral 'cysts'.⁸⁷ Case definition using radiology is useful because it represents an objective measure for osteoarthritis.⁴⁸ The most frequently used radiographic definition is the 0-4 Kellgren–Lawrence (KL) score that considers a person with grade ≥ 2 as having radiographic disease.⁸⁸ There are other currently used definitions such as Croft or Altman scores and others based on specific radiographic evaluation scores and parameters like joint space width (JSW), definite osteophytes, joint

space narrowing (JSN) and bone sclerosis. 15,87,89 All these criteria are recognized to have advantages and limitations 90,91 and there is currently debate as to what are the best radiographic definitions of osteoarthritis. 25,89

Radiographs are insensitive to early disease onset (initial cartilage degradation)^{18,92} and are in part insensitive to the disease progression, because of their poor reproducibility.⁴⁸ Radiographs also do not visualize many important joint structures whose pathology may be central to the study of the disease (cartilage, menisci, labrum or ligaments directly).⁴⁸ Furthermore, they provide little evidence of the existence of synovitis and imaging of effusions. Although bone is imaged, bone marrow lesions are not imaged on radiograph.⁴⁸

One alternative to radiographic evaluation is magnetic resonance imaging that directly visualizes most of the important anatomic structures, including hard and soft tissue structures; it can detect focal and diffuse cartilage changes and is less vulnerable to changes in joint position than radiography. Magnetic resonance imaging also can visualize damage in other soft-tissue structures in and around the joint, meniscal disruption, subchondral marrow lesions and synovitis changes. Further imaging modalities (e.g. computer tomography, sonography, scintigraphy) are seldom indicated for diagnosis and are normally used in specific situations (Table 2). 9,93,94

Laboratory tests on blood, urine or synovial fluid are not frequently required for the diagnosis but may be used to confirm or exclude coexistent inflammatory disease (e.g. pyrophosphate crystal deposition, gout, rheumatoid arthritis) in patients with suggestive symptoms or signs.²¹ There is a need for reliable new biomarkers and diagnostic tests that can facilitate earlier diagnosis of osteoarthritis and understand its progression.^{18,92}

Treatment Approaches

The understanding of osteoarthritis and its manifestations has expanded in recent years; so have the therapeutic and treatment options to manage the disease.95 The major goals

of treatment are pain control with minimal adverse effects, maintenance or improvement of joint mobility and function, and improved health related quality of life. Treatment should be tailored to each individual. Because no single therapy is adequate, the major clinical guidelines for disease management generally agree that therapy should involve a combination of non-pharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies. 5

The American College of Rheumatology and European League Against Rheumatic Diseases recommendations were recently reviewed. Pharmacologic modalities recommended for the initial management of patients with osteoarthritis include acetaminophen (paracetamol), oral and topical non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, tramadol, and intra-articular corticosteroid injections, glucosamine, chondroitin sulphate and other nutritional supplements. Intra-articular hyaluronate injections, duloxetine, and opioids are conditionally recommended in patients with an inadequate response to initial therapy. Surgical procedures are advised in patients with a long course of disease and/or untreatable pain or disability with non-surgical methods: surgical options include lavage/debridement, correction osteotomy and arthroplasty.^{8,16,21,97,98}

Non-pharmacologic modalities for osteoarthritis are quite diverse but broadly divided into educational and physical approaches.⁵ Educational approaches are based on lifestyle patterns changes (including diet and exercise) and joint protection techniques and walking aids.⁹⁷ Physical exercises include aerobic activity, muscle strengthening and range-of-motion exercises. Physiotherapy strategies such

as electrotherapy, thermal modalities and manual therapy are also recommended according with each patient. 8,17,21,98

Although current therapeutic approaches are primarily symptomatic in nature, there is nevertheless the potential to use available treatments to ameliorate the effects of osteoarthritis on quality of life and to potentially reduce the costs associated with the disease. The application of these new sources of knowledge about the disease process holds promise for the development of new, potentially disease-modifying pharmaceuticals. 2

CONCLUSION

Osteoarthritis poses a substantial and increasing burden on individuals and society. Advances in the understanding of osteoarthritis have revealed new aspects of the pathogenesis and progression of the disease. There seems to be growing recognition that osteoarthritis can have a multifactorial etiology that results from the interaction of several modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors. The correct understanding of all aspects involving this condition can help to improve the identification of osteoarthritis patients allowing early treatment strategies and also that correct public health measures can be taken.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING SOURCES

No subsidies or grants contributed to this work.

REFERENCES

- EUMUSC. Musculoskeletal Health in Europe 2011; [Consulted 2014 Jan 08]; Disponível em: http://www.eumusc.net/myUploadData/files/ Musculoskeletal%20Health%20in%20Europe%20Report%20v5.pdf.
- Felson DT. Developments in the clinical understanding of osteoarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2009;11:203.
- Chan KK, Wu RW. Symptoms, signs and quality of life (QoL) in osteoarthritis (OA). In: Rothschild DB, editor. Principles of osteoarthritis - its definition, character, derivation and modality-related recognition. 2012.
- Pereira D, Severo M, Barros H, Branco J, Santos RA, Ramos E. The effect of depressive symptoms on the association between radiographic osteoarthritis and knee pain: a cross-sectional study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2013;14:214.
- Altman RD. Early management of osteoarthritis. Am J Manag Care. 2010;16:S41-7.
- Arden N, Nevitt MC. Osteoarthritis: epidemiology. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2006;20:3-25.
- March LM, Schwarz JM, Carfrae BH, Bagge E. Clinical validation of selfreported osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 1998;6:87-93.
- Zhang W, Doherty M, Arden N, Bannwarth B, Bijlsma J, Gunther KP, et al. EULAR evidence based recommendations for the management of hip osteoarthritis: report of a task force of the EULAR Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCISIT). Ann Rheum Dis. 2005;64:669-81.
- Petersson IF, Jacobsson LT. Osteoarthritis of the peripheral joints. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2002;16:741-60.
- Pincus T, Block JA. Pain and radiographic damage in osteoarthritis. BMJ. 2009;339:b2802.
- Sanghi D, Avasthi S, Mishra A, Singh A, Agarwal S, Srivastava RN. Is radiology a determinant of pain, stiffness, and functional disability in knee osteoarthritis? A cross-sectional study. J Orthop Sci. 2011;16:719-

- 25.
- Altman R, Alarcon G, Appelrouth D, Bloch D, Borenstein D, Brandt K, et al. The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the hand. Arthritis Rheum. 1990;33:1601-10.
- Altman R, Alarcon G, Appelrouth D, Bloch D, Borenstein D, Brandt K, et al. The American College of Rheumatology criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis of the hip. Arthritis Rheum. 1991;34:505-14
- Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, et al. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis. Classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. Diagnostic and Therapeutic Criteria Committee of the American Rheumatism Association. Arthritis Rheum. 1986;29:1039-49.
- Zhang W, Doherty M, Peat G, Bierma-Zeinstra MA, Arden NK, Bresnihan B, et al. EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2010;69:483-9.
- Zhang W, Doherty M, Leeb BF, Alekseeva L, Arden NK, Bijlsma JW, et al. EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of hand osteoarthritis: report of a task force of ESCISIT. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009;68:8-17.
- Zhang W, Doherty M. EULAR recommendations for knee and hip osteoarthritis: a critique of the methodology. Br J Sports Med. 2006;40:664-9.
- Mobasheri A. Osteoarthritis year 2012 in review: biomarkers. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2012;20:1451-64.
- Bauer DC, Hunter DJ, Abramson SB, Attur M, Corr M, Felson D, et al. Classification of osteoarthritis biomarkers: a proposed approach. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2006;14:723-7.
- Rousseau JC, Delmas PD. Biological markers in osteoarthritis. Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol. 2007;3:346-56.

- Zhang W, Nuki G, Moskowitz RW, Abramson S, Altman RD, Arden NK, et al. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis: part III: Changes in evidence following systematic cumulative update of research published through January 2009. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2010;18:476-99.
- Sun BH, Wu CW, Kalunian KC. New developments in osteoarthritis. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2007;33:135-48.
- Kopec JA, Rahman MM, Berthelot JM, Le Petit C, Aghajanian J, Sayre EC, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of osteoarthritis in British Columbia, Canada. J Rheumatol. 2007;34:386-93.
- Woolf A, Pfleger B. Burden of major musculoskeletal conditions. World Health Organization. 2003;81:646-56.
- Felson DT, Zhang Y. An update on the epidemiology of knee and hip osteoarthritis with a view to prevention. Arthritis Rheum. 1998;41:1343-55
- Shiozaki H, Koga Y, Omori G, Yamamoto G, Takahashi H. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis of the knee in a rural Japanese population. The Knee. 1999;6:183-8.
- Pereira D, Peleteiro B, Araujo J, Branco J, Santos RA, Ramos E. The effect of osteoarthritis definition on prevalence and incidence estimates: a systematic review. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2011;19:1270-85.
- Andrianakos AA, Kontelis LK, Karamitsos DG, Aslanidis SI, Georgountzos AI, Kaziolas GO, et al. Prevalence of symptomatic knee, hand, and hip osteoarthritis in Greece. The ESORDIG study. J Rheumatol. 2006;33:2507-13.
- 29. Peat G, Thomas E, Duncan R, Wood L, Hay E, Croft P. Clinical classification criteria for knee osteoarthritis: performance in the general population and primary care. Ann Rheum Dis. 2006;65:1363-7.
- Cvijetic S, Campbell L, Cooper C, Kirwan J, Potocki K. Radiographic osteoarthritis in the elderly population of Zagreb: distribution, correlates, and the pattern of joint involvement. Croat Med J. 2000;41:58-63.
- Caspi D, Flusser G, Farber I, Ribak J, Leibovitz A, Habot B, et al. Clinical, radiologic, demographic, and occupational aspects of hand osteoarthritis in the elderly. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2001;30:321-31.
- Branco J, Nogueira P, Contreira T. Uma observação sobre a prevalência de algumas doenças crónicas, em Portugal Continental. Lisboa: Instituto Nacional de Saúde Dr. Ricardo Jorge; 2005.
- LPCDR. Liga Portuguesa Contra as Doenças Reumáticas. Estatísticas das Doenças Reumáticas 2013; [Consulted 2014 Jan 08]; Disponível em: http://www.lpcdr.org.pt/index.php?option=com_content&view=cate gory&id=69&Itemid=128.
- Direcção Geral da Saúde. Rede de Referenciação Hospitalar de Reumatologia 2003; [Consulted 2014 Jan 08]; Disponível em: http:// www.dgs.pt/upload/membro.id/ficheiros/i006184.pdf.
- Costa L, Gal D, Barros H. Prevalência auto-declarada de doenças reumáticas numa população urbana. Acta Reumatol Port. 2004;29:169-74
- Lucas R, Monjardino MT. O Estado da Reumatologia em Portugal: Observatório Nacional da Doencas Reumáticas. Lisboa: ONDOR: 2010.
- Chaisson CE, Zhang Y, McAlindon TE, Hannan MT, Aliabadi P, Naimark A, et al. Radiographic hand osteoarthritis: incidence, patterns, and influence of pre-existing disease in a population based sample. J Rheumatol. 1997:24:1337-43.
- Oliveria SA, Felson DT, Reed JI, Cirillo PA, Walker AM. Incidence of symptomatic hand, hip, and knee osteoarthritis among patients in a health maintenance organization. Arthritis Rheum. 1995;38:1134-41.
- Grotle M, Hagen KB, Natvig B, Dahl FA, Kvien TK. Prevalence and burden of osteoarthritis: results from a population survey in Norway. J Rheumatol. 2008;35:677-84.
- March LM, Bachmeier CJ. Economics of osteoarthritis: a global perspective. Baillieres Clin Rheumatol. 1997;11:817-34.
- Bitton R. The economic burden of osteoarthritis. Am J Manag Care. 2009;15:S230-5.
- 42. Stafinski T, Menon D. The burden of osteoarthritis in Canada: a review of literature. Alberta, Canada: National Library Canada; 2001.
- Woo J, Lau E, Lau CS, Lee P, Zhang J, Kwok T, et al. Socioeconomic impact of osteoarthritis in Hong Kong: utilization of health and social services, and direct and indirect costs. Arthritis Rheum. 2003;49:526-34.
- 44. Schofield DJ, Shrestha RN, Percival R, Passey ME, Callander EJ, Kelly SJ. The personal and national costs of lost labour force participation due to arthritis: an economic study. BMC Public Health. 2013;13:188.
- 45. Xie F, Thumboo J, Li SC. True difference or something else? Problems in cost of osteoarthritis studies. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2007;37:127-32.
- Loza E, Lopez-Gomez JM, Abasolo L, Maese J, Carmona L, Batlle-Gualda E. Economic burden of knee and hip osteoarthritis in Spain. Arthritis Rheum. 2009;61:158-65.

- Faustino A. Epidemiologia e importância económica e social das doenças reumáticas? Estudos nacionais. Acta Reum Port. 2002;27:21-36
- Felson DT, Nevitt MC. Epidemiologic studies for osteoarthritis: new versus conventional study design approaches. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. 2004;30:783-97.
- van der Kraan PM. Osteoarthritis year 2012 in review: biology. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2012;20:1447-50.
- 50. Madry H, Luyten FP, Facchini A. Biological aspects of early osteoarthritis. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2012;20:407-22.
- Hernandez-Molina G, Neogi T, Hunter DJ, Niu J, Guermazi A, Reichenbach S, et al. The association of bone attrition with knee pain and other MRI features of osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008;67:43-7.
- 52. Neogi T, Felson D, Niu J, Lynch J, Nevitt M, Guermazi A, et al. Cartilage loss occurs in the same subregions as subchondral bone attrition: a within-knee subregion-matched approach from the Multicenter Osteoarthritis Study. Arthritis Rheum. 2009;61:1539-44.
- 53. Berenbaum F. Osteoarthritis as an inflammatory disease (osteoarthritis is not osteoarthrosis!). Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2013;21:16-21.
- van Meurs JB, Uitterlinden AG. Osteoarthritis year 2012 in review: genetics and genomics. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2012;20:1470-6.
- 55. Loughlin J. The genetic epidemiology of human primary osteoarthritis: current status. Expert Rev Mol Med. 2005;7:1-12.
- Zhai G, Stankovich J, Ding C, Scott F, Cicuttini F, Jones G. The genetic contribution to muscle strength, knee pain, cartilage volume, bone size, and radiographic osteoarthritis: a sibpair study. Arthritis Rheum. 2004;50:805-10.
- Du H, Chen SL, Bao CD, Wang XD, Lu Y, Gu YY, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of knee osteoarthritis in Huang-Pu District, Shanghai, China. Rheumatol Int. 2005;25:585-90.
- Abramson SB, Attur M. Developments in the scientific understanding of osteoarthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2009;11:227.
- Hart DJ, Doyle DV, Spector TD. Incidence and risk factors for radiographic knee osteoarthritis in middle-aged women: the Chingford Study. Arthritis Rheum. 1999;42:17-24.
- Andrianakos A, Trontzas P, Christoyannis F, Dantis P, Voudouris C, Georgountzos A, et al. Prevalence of rheumatic diseases in Greece: a cross-sectional population based epidemiological study. The ESORDIG Study. J Rheumatol. 2003;30:1589-601.
- Hanna FS, Wluka AE, Bell RJ, Davis SR, Cicuttini FM. Osteoarthritis and the postmenopausal woman: Epidemiological, magnetic resonance imaging, and radiological findings. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2004;34:631-6
- Richette P, Corvol M, Bardin T. Estrogens, cartilage, and osteoarthritis. Joint Bone Spine. 2003;70:257-62.
- 63. Zhang Y, McAlindon TE, Hannan MT, Chaisson CE, Klein R, Wilson PW, et al. Estrogen replacement therapy and worsening of radiographic knee osteoarthritis: the Framingham Study. Arthritis Rheum. 1998;41:1867-
- 64. Nevitt MC, Cummings SR, Lane NE, Hochberg MC, Scott JC, Pressman AR, et al. Association of estrogen replacement therapy with the risk of osteoarthritis of the hip in elderly white women. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. Arch Intern Med. 1996;156:2073-80.
- Cooper C, Snow S, McAlindon TE, Kellingray S, Stuart B, Coggon D, et al. Risk factors for the incidence and progression of radiographic knee osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2000;43:995-1000.
- 66. Cooper C, McAlindon T, Snow S, Vines K, Young P, Kirwan J, et al. Mechanical and constitutional risk factors for symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: differences between medial tibiofemoral and patellofemoral disease. J Rheumatol. 1994;21:307-13.
- 67. Juhakoski R, Heliovaara M, Impivaara O, Kroger H, Knekt P, Lauren H, et al. Risk factors for the development of hip osteoarthritis: a population-based prospective study. Rheumatology. 2009;48:83-7.
- Teichtahl AJ, Wang Y, Wluka AE, Cicuttini FM. Obesity and knee osteoarthritis: new insights provided by body composition studies. Obesity. 2008:16:232-40.
- Grotle M, Hagen KB, Natvig B, Dahl FA, Kvien TK. Obesity and osteoarthritis in knee, hip and/or hand: an epidemiological study in the general population with 10 years follow-up. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2008;9:132.
- Lau EC, Cooper C, Lam D, Chan VN, Tsang KK, Sham A. Factors associated with osteoarthritis of the hip and knee in Hong Kong Chinese: obesity, joint injury, and occupational activities. Am J Epidemiol. 2000:152:855-62
- 71. Abbate LM, Stevens J, Schwartz TA, Renner JB, Helmick CG, Jordan JM. Anthropometric measures, body composition, body fat distribution,

- and knee osteoarthritis in women. Obesity. 2006;14:1274-81.
- Rossignol M, Leclerc A, Hilliquin P, Allaert FA, Rozenberg S, Valat JP, et al. Primary osteoarthritis and occupations: a national cross sectional survey of 10 412 symptomatic patients. Occup Environ Med. 2003;60:882-6.
- Yoshimura N, Nishioka S, Kinoshita H, Hori N, Nishioka T, Ryujin M, et al. Risk factors for knee osteoarthritis in Japanese women: heavy weight, previous joint injuries, and occupational activities. J Rheumatol. 2004;31:157-62.
- Kalichman L, Hernandez-Molina G. Hand osteoarthritis: an epidemiological perspective. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2010;39:465-76.
- Hootman JM, Macera CA, Helmick CG, Blair SN. Influence of physical activity-related joint stress on the risk of self-reported hip/knee osteoarthritis: a new method to quantify physical activity. Prev Med. 2003:36:636-44
- Lane NE, Oehlert JW, Bloch DA, Fries JF. The relationship of running to osteoarthritis of the knee and hip and bone mineral density of the lumbar spine: a 9 year longitudinal study. J Rheumatol. 1998;25:334-41.
- Felson DT, Zhang Y, Hannan MT, Naimark A, Weissman B, Aliabadi P, et al. Risk factors for incident radiographic knee osteoarthritis in the elderly: the Framingham Study. Arthritis Rheum. 1997;40:728-33.
- Ameye LG, Chee WS. Osteoarthritis and nutrition. From nutraceuticals to functional foods: a systematic review of the scientific evidence. Arthritis Res Ther. 2006;8:R127.
- McAlindon T, Felson DT. Nutrition: risk factors for osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 1997;56:397-400.
- Goerres GW, Hauselmann HJ, Seifert B, Michel BA, Uebelhart D. Patients with knee osteoarthritis have lower total hip bone mineral density in the symptomatic leg than in the contralateral hip. J Clin Densitom. 2005;8:484-7.
- Hochberg MC, Lethbridge-Cejku M, Tobin JD. Bone mineral density and osteoarthritis: data from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2004;12:S45-8.
- 82. Zhang Y, Jordan JM. Epidemiology of osteoarthritis. Clin Geriatr Med. 2010;26:355-69.
- Zhang Y, Hannan MT, Chaisson CE, McAlindon TE, Evans SR, Aliabadi P, et al. Bone mineral density and risk of incident and progressive radiographic knee osteoarthritis in women: the Framingham Study. J Rheumatol. 2000;27:1032-7.
- Kadam UT, Jordan K, Croft PR. Clinical comorbidity in patients with osteoarthritis: a case-control study of general practice consulters in England and Wales. Ann Rheum Dis. 2004;63:408-14.
- 85. Spector TD, MacGregor AJ. Risk factors for osteoarthritis: genetics. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2004;12:S39-44.

- 86. The National Collaborating Centre for Chronic Conditions N-C. Osteoarthritis: national clinical guideline for care and management in adults. In: London RCoPo, editor. London: Royal College of Physicians; 2008.
- Bedson J, Croft PR. The discordance between clinical and radiographic knee osteoarthritis: a systematic search and summary of the literature. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2008;9:116.
- 88. Duncan R, Peat G, Thomas E, Hay E, McCall I, Croft P. Symptoms and radiographic osteoarthritis: not as discordant as they are made out to be? Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66:86-91.
- Duncan RC, Hay EM, Saklatvala J, Croft PR. Prevalence of radiographic osteoarthritis - it all depends on your point of view. Rheumatology. 2006:45:757-60
- Goker B, Sancak A, Haznedaroglu S. Radiographic hip osteoarthritis and acetabular dysplasia in Turkish men and women. Rheumatol Int. 2005;25:419-22.
- Jacobsen S, Sonne-Holm S, Soballe K, Gebuhr P, Lund B. Radiographic case definitions and prevalence of osteoarthrosis of the hip: a survey of 4 151 subjects in the Osteoarthritis Substudy of the Copenhagen City Heart Study. Acta Orthop Scand. 2004;75:713-20.
- Lethbridge-Cejku M, Scott WW Jr, Reichle R, Ettinger WH, Zonderman A, Costa P, et al. Association of radiographic features of osteoarthritis of the knee with knee pain: data from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. Arthritis Care Res. 1995;8:182-8.
- Odding E, Valkenburg HA, Algra D, Vandenouweland FA, Grobbee DE, Hofman A. Associations of radiological osteoarthritis of the hip and knee with locomotor disability in the Rotterdam Study. Ann Rheum Dis. 1998:57:203-8.
- Neogi T, Felson D, Niu J, Nevitt M, Lewis CE, Aliabadi P, et al. Association between radiographic features of knee osteoarthritis and pain: results from two cohort studies. BMJ. 2009;339:b2844.
- Juni P, Reichenbach S, Dieppe P. Osteoarthritis: rational approach to treating the individual. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2006;20:721-40.
- Hochberg MC. Osteoarthritis year 2012 in review: clinical. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2012;20:1465-9.
- 97. Hochberg MC, Altman RD, April KT, Benkhalti M, Guyatt G, McGowan J, et al. American College of Rheumatology 2012 recommendations for the use of nonpharmacologic and pharmacologic therapies in osteoarthritis of the hand, hip, and knee. Arthritis Care Res. 2012;64:455-74.
- 98. Zhang W, Doherty M, Leeb BF, Alekseeva L, Arden NK, Bijlsma JW, et al. EULAR evidence based recommendations for the management of hand osteoarthritis: report of a Task Force of the EULAR Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCISIT). Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66:377-88.

Duarte PEREIRA, Elisabete RAMOS, Jaime BRANCO

Osteoarthritis

Acta Med Port 2015:28:99-106

Publicado pela Acta Médica Portuguesa, a Revista Científica da Ordem dos Médicos

Av. Almirante Gago Coutinho, 151 1749-084 Lisboa, Portugal. Tel: +351 218 428 215

E-mail: submissao@actamedicaportuguesa.com www.actamedicaportuguesa.com ISSN:0870-399X | e-ISSN: 1646-0758













