Using Large Cohort Data to Strengthen Information-Based Public Health Policies: An Appeal to Portuguese Authorities

Utilização de Grandes Bases de Dados Longitudinais para Fortalecer as Políticas de Saúde Pública Baseadas em Informação: Um Apelo às Autoridades Portuguesas

Dear Editor,

Everyday millions of bytes of data are generated by routine activities of healthcare systems. Nevertheless, health researchers opt for primary data collection. This decision is often justified by the low quality of existing data, legal and bureaucratic processes impeding timely access, and/or important discrepancies between the needed data and the data that is available.

In Portugal, national data is siloed. Health data is collected in different datasets, is not interoperable or linked, with one identifier per dataset and several data ‘owners’. Therefore, health data is not being used to anywhere near its full potential, leading to ‘data wastage’, hampered research and development (R&D) competitiveness, increased costs, and potentially slower responses to emerging health problems.

We argue that improved, ethical usage of national data registries to support public health decisions is paramount to Portuguese R&D strategy, competitiveness, and building an epidemiological milieu. Some of the research priorities funded through national and international research agencies can be addressed through the analysis of this data, allowing for more complex analyses, faster results, and a lower cost.

The existing unclear, unstructured processes for accessing data are a great disadvantage for Portuguese researchers. Even in cases where researchers are granted access, not all the necessary data to test the hypothesis are provided (e.g., date of birth, borough/parish, sex). Some can be denied due to concerns regarding confidentiality/-anonymity of subjects in the datasets, making testing for potential confounders in the data de facto impossible.

Having clear paths to access big datasets would increase the relevance, efficiency and usefulness of the data collection, and its quality. Having several dataset users can help in identifying errors and pitfalls, bridging information needs and demand for data.

The Nordic countries are an example to follow, where national registries and the use of a single unique identifier enable the development of large cohort studies involving millions of participants, with adequate censoring for death and migration, based on individual-level data collected for administrative purposes, either individually or per groups of countries.

In Denmark, for instance, researchers can access anonymized raw data, use the Statistics Denmark’s online research machine to analyze large datasets and transfer the results of the analysis afterwards.

It is our hope that the Portuguese authorities, including the Ministries of Health, Education, Science and Technology will be inspired by these examples and derive a strategy to increase access and usefulness of the national registries, otherwise, Portuguese researchers and the country will lose its R&D competitiveness.
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