Paracetamol Induced Acute Interstitial Nephritis: A Pediatric Case Report

Dear Editor,

Acute interstitial nephritis (AIN) is characterized by the presence of inflammatory infiltrates and edema in the renal interstitium, and is usually associated with an acute deterioration in renal function (acute kidney injury – AKI).

AIN can have multiple causes, but it is most frequently drug-induced. Even though the list of drugs that can trigger AIN can have multiple causes, but it is most frequently drug-induced, even though the list of drugs that can trigger AIN may have multiple causes, but it is most frequently drug-induced.

We report the case of a 14-year old girl who developed biopsy-proven AIN with a temporal association with paracetamol exposure. The patient presented two weeks after receiving daily treatment for an upper-respiratory infection with paracetamol, in therapeutic doses. The patient had low-grade fever, weight loss (approximately 7% of total body weight), and polyuria, without nocturia or other changes in urine. No other drugs were taken. The physical examination was normal, including normal blood pressure. The patient was diagnosed with AKI (serum creatinine 3.88 mg/dL; glomerular filtration rate 23.8 mL/min/1.73 m²), mild leukocyturia (15 leukocytes/μL), no hematuria, non-nephrotic proteinuria, and glycosuria, with normal glycemia. Globally enlarged kidneys with a moderate diffuse increase in parenchymal echogenicity were observed in renal ultrasound. A kidney biopsy showed tubulitis and eosinophils (6% eosinophils; 770/μL), and worsening renal function. Paracetamol-induced AIN was suspected. Prednisolone was started (1 mg/kg/day) and complete eviction of paracetamol was recommended. Ibuprofen was suggested as an alternative if a painkiller or antipyretic was needed.

The symptoms completely resolved, and the renal function recovered completely one month after the eviction of paracetamol. Steroids were progressively tapered. The patient maintains a normal renal function seven months after the diagnosis.

Any drug can potentially induce AIN. However, very few cases of AIN after therapeutic doses of paracetamol have been reported.
been reported. The clinical presentation is non-specific. Not all patients have a complete recovery of renal function even if the inciting agent is removed. The most important therapeutic measure is the early removal of the responsible drug. The role of corticosteroids remains controversial. In conclusion, AIN caused by paracetamol is rare. The diagnosis is challenging because of the heterogeneous clinical picture and the inexistence of reliable non-invasive diagnostic procedures. It is important to keep a high clinical suspicion and the early suspension of the causative drug is crucial for the prognosis.
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The patient had a good postoperative course, with no signs of infection or ischemia throughout the follow-up period. At eight weeks post-trauma, there was complete resolution of symptoms, and the patient was able to resume his professional activity.

When faced with a high-pressure fluid injection injury, early assessment and treatment are essential since these injuries can have devastating consequences even though their external appearance can often seem innocuous. The rates of amputation described in the literature can exceed 50%, even when injuries are treated within the first six hours. In cases where amputation is avoided, the resulting sequelae prevent more than half of individuals from returning to their professional activities. Injected substances can progress through tissues along paths of least resistance, such as neurovascular bundles, triggering inflammatory reactions that contribute to tissue irrigation compromise and eventual necrosis. In addition, the presence of a portal of entry, combined with local ischemia, promotes infection.

High-pressure fluid injection injuries are a surgical emergency. Prompt diagnosis is very important to avoid serious complications such as amputation. Given the severity of this condition and the associated consequences, clear and thorough communication with patients is crucial.
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