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ABSTRACT
Introduction: Portugal is experiencing the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic since March 2020. All-causes mortality in Portugal increased during March and April 2020 compared to previous years, but this increase is not explained by COVID-19 reported deaths. The aim of this study was to analyze and consider other criteria for estimating excessive all-causes mortality during the early COVID-19 pandemic period.

Material and Methods: Public data was used to estimate excess mortality by age and region between March 1 and April 22, proposing baselines adjusted for the lockdown period.

Results: Despite the inherent uncertainty, it is safe to assume an observed excess mortality of 2400 to 4000 deaths. Excess mortality was associated with older age groups (over age 65).

Discussion: The data suggests a ternary explanation for early excess mortality: COVID-19, non-identified COVID-19 and decrease in access to healthcare. The estimates have implications in terms of communication of non-pharmaceutical actions, for research, and to healthcare professionals.

Conclusion: The excess mortality occurred between March 1 and April 22 was 3.5- to 5-fold higher than what can be explained by the official COVID-19 deaths.
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RESUMO

Material e Métodos: Utilizaram-se bases de dados públicas para estimar o excesso de mortalidade por idade e região entre 1 de março e 22 de abril, propondo níveis basais ajustados ao período de estado de emergência em vigor.

Resultados: Apesar da incerteza inerente, é seguro assumir um excesso de mortalidade observada de 2400 a 4000 mortes. O excesso de mortalidade encontra-se associado aos grupos etários mais idosos (idade superior a 65 anos).

Discussão: Os dados sugerem uma explicação tripartida para o excesso de mortalidade: COVID-19, COVID-19 não identificado e diminuição do acesso a cuidados de saúde. As estimativas efetuadas possuem implicações ao nível da comunicação de acções não farmacológicas, da investigação científica e dos profissionais de saúde.

Conclusão: Da análise dos resultados é possível concluir que o excesso de mortalidade ocorrido entre 1 de março e 22 de abril foi 3,5 a 5 vezes superior ao explicado pelas mortes por COVID-19 reportadas oficialmente.
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INTRODUCTION
On March 2, 2020 the first infections by SARS-CoV-2 were reported in Portugal. Currently (April 22) 21982 infections and 785 deaths have occurred in individuals infected with the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Public health surveillance data registered 10096 deaths in all-causes mortality during March 2020, a significant increase compared to data from previous years for the same period. This suggests potential excess mortality attributable to crisis conditions. The excess mortality observed is not explained by the number of official COVID-19 deaths during the same period.

The standard approach to calculate the expected number of deaths, in the absence of a given event of interest, uses the previous year’s historical data for the same period (either mean or median) as baseline reference. In the current pandemic situation, several studies and newspaper articles are using this approach. However, the current
lockdown situation is not comparable with any historical mortality reported so far, and therefore this approach does not reflect the expected mortality in the current situation.

In fact, the current lockdown situation can be thought as having some similarities to a summer holiday period, given lower levels of working population, lower risk of infectious diseases (due to weather in the summer and due to quarantine during the lockdown), less urban traffic and road traffic accidents, but also lower number of consultations. Therefore, the current situation may not be comparable to the same periods in previous years, implying a reduction in expected mortality towards the level of one month of summer holidays. If the lockdown had some protective effect on overall mortality, like summer holiday months seem to have, these effects may be observed in some, if not all, age groups.

The aim of this study was to analyze and consider other criteria for estimating excessive all-causes mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic period, discussing possible contributions and implications in the context of this unusual phenomenon.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Our study consisted of the analysis of official public datasets, including on COVID-19. Using this methodology of anonymized public data dismissed the need of ethics committee approval. We retrieved, from the 1st March to the 22nd April 2020, data from public datasets on COVID-19 confirmed cases and mortality, distribution per district of COVID-19 confirmed cases, all-causes daily mortality (total, by age group and by district) available from the electronic surveillance of mortality in real-time from the Portuguese e-Death Certification Information System (SICO/evVM), and access to emergency services according to the Manchester Triage System.

We herein considered the minimum observed mortality in the period, or what would be expected within one, three, or five months ahead as more plausible baselines for the current situation. In our analysis we also present the maximum observed mortality in the period, not because it is a good alternative, but because it may show clear excess mortality in the study period. Throughout our calculations up to March 11, any excess mortality was assumed to be zero. Baselines were obtained smoothing the respective mortality series.

We calculated the excess mortality estimates considering Excess mortality ($\sum$ observed – $\sum$ defined baseline) and Sum of Positive Excess Mortality ($\sum$ [observed – defined baseline] when this difference is positive).

The estimation of excess mortality rates and COVID-19 mortality rates were performed by district of mainland Portugal, in absolute and relative terms, both per 100,000 inhabitants by district.

We estimated mortality due to reduction of daily-rate hospital Emergency Department (ED) visits between March 1 – April 22. We retrieved the data on ED visits according to the Manchester Triage System (MTS). The estimation was performed in 3 steps: Step 1- for each MTS triage color, we calculated the 3-day average in the beginning of march (1st to 3rd of March); From day 3 onwards, within each MTS color, we calculated the difference between the number of each day visits (3-day centered averages) and the 3-day average in the beginning of march (reference); Step 2- We calculated the total number of visits (difference between daily averages) corresponding to the sum of these daily differences, according to the MTS color; Step 3- The values obtained in step 2 for each MTS color were multiplied by the corresponding assumed death rates of 10.3% for MTS red color, 4% for MTS orange color and 0.00003% for MST yellow color, and the sum of values represented the total number of potential deaths.

The estimates were performed using R software (version 3.4.4). Code is available upon request.

RESULTS

First, we estimated the excess mortality from the 1st of March to the 22nd of April 2020 (Fig. 1). Results presented in Fig. 1A show a sustained observed mortality above the usual and the new proposed alternative baselines during most of the month of March and April. Excess mortality seems associated with the increasing number of observed official COVID-19 deaths (Fig. 1B).

When excess mortality was calculated under mean and median usual standards, we observed a 2- to 3-fold increase in excess mortality accounted officially as COVID-19 deaths (Fig. 1B). In our proposed alternative baseline setting, this excess mortality was remarkably higher, registering a 3.5- to 5-fold increase compared to the official COVID-19 mortality (Fig. 1B). Despite the inherent uncertainty, it is safe to assume an observed excess mortality of 2400 to 4000 deaths between March 1 and April 22.

Table 1 shows the estimation of excess mortality using all-causes mortality by age group and baseline mortality definition. It can be observed that the estimated excess mortality is associated with the older age groups, with different baselines showing consistent results. Mortality in the younger age groups was, on average, below the proposed baselines, as hypothesized.

Our calculations indicate that the estimated excess mortality was associated with higher age groups (Fig. 1C), with the different baselines showing consistent results. Mortality in younger age groups was below average, and consistent with the different alternative baselines (see Table 1).

Considering the all-causes mortality by district of mainland Portugal (12 of 18 districts), some districts like Aveiro, Porto and Lisbon were characterized by a marked excess mortality (Fig. 2), meaning that in absolute terms, the estimated excess mortality is geographically superimposed with districts where more COVID-19 cases were observed and which are more densely populated (Fig. 3). In relative terms, estimated excess mortality seems overall homogeneous by district, tending to be higher in districts with older patients and lower population density (Fig. 4).

A considerable reduction in the daily-rate emergency department (ED) visits occurred between March 1 - April
Evolution of all-causes daily mortality and smoothed baselines (March 1 - April 22, 2020)

Figure 1 – Evolution between March 1 and April 22, 2020. (A) All-cause mortality with indication of the main non-pharmaceutical interventions by the Portuguese Government in chronological order. All baselines were smoothed. Note the excess mortality from the second half of March onwards. (B) Cumulative excess mortality estimation compared with COVID-19 official mortality: Exc1 – Excess mortality: (Σ observed – Σ defined baseline) on the left-hand side; Exc2 – Sum of Positive Excess Mortality: (Σ [observed – defined baseline] when this difference is positive) on the right-hand side. (C) Age-specific evolution of all-cause mortality. All baselines were smoothed. Note the excess mortality estimated for the 65+ age group.

22 with a cumulative daily average difference of -191,666 visits for patients with MTS red (n= -771 visits), orange (n= -30159 visits), and yellow colors (n= -160,736 visits) (Fig. 5). Taking into account that despite the lockdown patients still require access to urgent and emergency care, and assuming the proportions of short-term mortality occurring within the ED 24 - 48 hours after admission, we estimated a potential of, at least, 1291 deaths (MTS red color: 79
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This paper represents one of the first attempts to present different comparative scenarios and estimates of excess mortality during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic in Portugal. This pandemic poses an unprecedented challenge to health systems globally, with a need to increase resource capacity across all health services, thus implying a significant healthcare burden with potential life threatening outcomes as reported in Italy and Spain. Despite the general perception that Portugal intervened rapidly to mitigate the COVID-19 effects, it is clear that none of the current monitoring instruments were prepared to perform with precision under lockdown conditions: the current monitoring instruments produce underestimations of excess mortality given they were conceived assuming normal expected conditions (not accounting for the current special condition).

Several studies were carried out under the same kind of assumptions, for example, a report produced by Statistics Portugal calculates the excess mortality in Portugal by Municipalities [not accounting for the current special condition]. Several studies were carried out under the same kind of assumptions, for example, a report produced by Statistics Portugal calculates the excess mortality in Portugal by Municipalities [not accounting for the current special condition]. Several studies were carried out under the same kind of assumptions, for example, a report produced by Statistics Portugal calculates the excess mortality in Portugal by Municipalities [not accounting for the current special condition].

The explanation for this increase in all-causes mortality that occurred in the over 65 age group appears ternary: (i) COVID-19; (ii) non-identified COVID-19; and (iii) decrease in access to healthcare. Considering that COVID-19 and non-identified COVID-19 increase mortality may be related to healthcare burden, the potential impact in all-causes mortality due to decrease in access to healthcare justifies a more thorough discussion. From the health services perspective, the focus on COVID-19 preparedness implied postponing programmed surgeries and outpatient consultations for a considerable number of patients with chronic conditions. Considering the public perspective, the announcement of the non-pharmaceutical interventions taken by the government to control the epidemic (Fig. 1), largely disseminated by the media, might have triggered the public perception that healthcare visits should be avoided (due to concerns of potential infection from healthcare facilities). This is illustrated by the notorious reduction of more than 191,000 daily hospital ED visits occurred between March 1 - April 22, potentially impacting in 1291 or more deaths [Fig. 5 and Table in Appendix (see Appendix 1: https://www.actamedicaportuguesa.com//revista/index.php/amp/article/view/13928/Appendix_01.pdf)].

Nevertheless, the hypothesis of a potential mortality displacement effect¹⁰ should not be ruled out, given the minimum registered number of deaths expected for February, while considering the absence of a significant seasonal influenza activity¹¹ and the warm temperatures experienced during this period.¹² However, excess mortality occurred in the second half of March, coincidental with the lockdown and persisting continuously since then. While lockdown measures do not promote the same behavior as summer vacation months, both situations result in lower mortality risk: In fact, the lowest mortality rates are observed during the summer months, and the aim of the lockdown is to reduce the health risks of citizens. It should be noted that the number of excess deaths observed has a much higher magnitude than the number of deaths in subjects infected with COVID-19. Of these deaths, only a certain proportion yet to be clearly defined will have died because of the infection. Therefore, at least in this early phase of the epidemic, it is unlikely that deaths due to known or unknown COVID-19 infections would account for the total observed excess mortality.

It is of interest to note that no excess mortality was observed in the under 55 year age group. In fact, this group remained around the average daily number of deaths observed in the past twelve years, including the summer months, and there was actually an improvement in the average number of deaths in the last two weeks (Fig. 1C). This suggests that mortality for the majority of the population (individuals below age 55) benefited from this lockdown.
period with a similar magnitude as during the summer holiday months, as hypothesized. However, and despite these conditions, excess mortality was observed in the group above age 55, and in the elderly in particular.

The estimates of this paper imply a call for action with implications for policy, research, healthcare professionals (especially family physicians and pharmacists) and the general public. For policy, it is important to clearly communicate that the announced non-pharmaceutical interventions should not preclude the access to healthcare services.
Figure 3 – Graphical representation of estimated excess mortality and COVID-19 estimated mortality in absolute terms. Vertical blue lines represent the distance between minimum and the maximum of estimated excess mortality calculated using 3 different baselines (mean + 1 month, mean + 3 months and mean + 5 months). COVID-19 [Cov_Est] mortality was estimated from municipal cases reported by DGS using three hypothetical death rates (3%, 4% and 5%).

R: Pearson correlation coefficient; Rho: Spearman correlation coefficient; Exc1: Excess mortality - (Σ observed – Σ defined baseline); Exc2: Sum of Positive Excess Mortality - (Σ observed – defined baseline) when this difference is positive.

Figure 4 – Graphical representation of estimated excess mortality rates and COVID-19 estimated mortality rates in relative terms, both by 100,000 inhabitants per district. Vertical blue lines represent the distance between minimum and the maximum of estimated excess mortality calculated using 3 different baselines (mean + 1 month, mean + 3 months and mean + 5 months). COVID-19 [Cov_Est] mortality was estimated from municipal cases reported by DGS using three hypothetical death rates (3%, 4% and 5%).

R: Pearson correlation coefficient; Rho: Spearman correlation coefficient; Exc1: Excess mortality - (Σ observed – Σ defined baseline); Exc2: Sum of Positive Excess Mortality - (Σ observed – defined baseline) when this difference is positive.

especially for patients with chronic conditions and comorbidities, in order to prevent the increase in deaths for lack of access while attempting to focus on decreasing COVID-19 related deaths. District-level preparedness plans are important to prevent alarm and to avoid widespread fear, panic and overloading the healthcare system, but communication is paramount to deliver the correct message. This measure may impact directly on mortality as demonstrated in the present study for the over 65 age group, considering the high prevalence of chronic diseases in this specific age group.\textsuperscript{13}

For research, it is important to acknowledge that, presently, the actions of both healthcare and academic institutions focus on mitigating the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. However, it is important to carry out research in
other Public Health/Epidemiological areas of interest (Environmental, Occupational, Neuro-Psychiatric Epidemiology) potentially impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic and that can cause an increase in all-causes mortality. Facilitating access to public health data (hospital registries, etc.) is important to support public health interventions. This study contributes conceptually for opening the mindset and promoting discussion concerning the definition of excessive mortality and future analysis of factors that impact early excess mortality not associated with COVID-19.

The results of this study should be interpreted with caution, considering the limitations of projecting working scenarios at an early stage of the pandemic and with considerable uncertainty. Future research should be performed with more definitive data to finely quantify (and qualify) excess mortality; of paramount importance will be the inclusion of the individual causes of death.

CONCLUSION

It is possible to conclude that there is evidence of excess mortality in Portugal between March 1 and April 22, 2020 during the COVID-19 lockdown even using the usual baselines (mean, median).

By adopting baselines more consistent with the lockdown, the excess mortality becomes more evident, with estimated 2400 to 4000 potential excess deaths during this period.

The observed excess of mortality is associated with older age groups (over age 65). The reduction of more than 191,000 daily hospital ED visits occurred between March 1 - April 22 may potentially be associated with 1291 or more deaths. Overall, these results point towards an excess mortality that is associated with and that is 3.5- to 5-fold higher than the official COVID-19 mortality.
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