Letter to the Editor Regarding the Article: "Quality of Sleep among Portuguese Anaesthesiologists: A Cross-Sectional Study"

Carta ao Editor Relativa ao Artigo: "Qualidade de Sono dos Anestesiologistas Portugueses: Um Estudo Transversal"

**Keywords:** Anesthesiologists; Portugal; Sleep; Sleep Initiation and Maintenance Disorders/drug therapy

Palavras-chave: Anestesiologistas; Distúrbios da Iniciação e Manutenção do Sono/tratamento farmacológico; Portugal; Sono

Dear Editor.

Valente F et al,1 recently published a cross-sectional study regarding the quality of sleep of Portuguese anaesthesiologists. The results showed that anaesthesiologists, which are, a high-risk group due to work-shifts and early start at work presented a poor quality of sleep, excessive daytime somnolence, high perceived stress and high rate of use of sedatives. Insomnia, the most prevalent sleep condition, is a worldwide problem, affecting about one-third to half of the adult population.2 Valente F et al1 identify that 20.7% of the participants take sleep medication at least once a week. In 87.3% of participants benzodiazepines were the medicine of choice. It is known that Portugal is the European Union country with the highest consumption of this pharmacological class<sup>3</sup> (anaesthesiologists are in in particular risk due to the easy access to sedatives). This is concerning due to the long-term side effects of benzodiazepines like physical tolerance and dependency, risk of falls and cognitive impairment. Specifically, regarding sleep, benzodiazepines and benzodiazepine analogs Z-drugs alter sleep architecture, reduce deep sleep (N-REM, phase 3) and REM sleep, resulting in diminished sleep efficacy.

Other drugs used to promote sleep or treat comorbid anxiety and depression, like antidepressants [SSRIs, SN-RIs, tricyclics, noradrenergic and specific serotonergic antidepressant (Nassa)] or antipsychotics (typical or atypical) are also not risk-free because of their numerous adverse effects and the possibility of altering sleep architecture as well (for example, SSRI suppress REM-Sleep).

These highlight the unmet need for additional safe treatment options to sleep conditions. It is necessary to raise awareness of this and adopt new measures, such as cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia, psychotherapy (efficacy already proven by several metanalysis4) or new drugs that have been emerging like suvorexant and lemborexant. They are dual-receptor 1 and 2- orexin receptor antagonists (DORAs), and have already been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) but not by the European Medicines Agency (EMA). This drugs blocks the vigilance promoter orexin system, resulting in decreased sleep latency and increased deep (phase 3, N-REM) and REM- sleep and total amount of sleep,5 as well. Although longitudinal and comparative studies are needed to better assess the risks and efficacy of those, promising results indicate that it is a valid option for the treatment of insomnia, with a good tolerability profile. It can be especially useful for individuals who do not tolerate or have contraindications to the use of benzodiazepines or their derivatives.

## REFERENCES

- Valente F, Batista C, Simões V, Tomé I, Carrilho A. Quality of sleep among Portuguese anaesthesiologists: a cross-sectional study. Acta Med Port. 2019;32:641–6.
- Ohayon MM. Epidemiology of insomnia: what we know and what we still need to learn. Sleep Med Rev. 2002;6:97–111.
- Opss.pt (homepage na internet). Observatório Português dos Sistemas de Saúde - Relatório de Primavera 2019: Saúde, um Direito Humano. [consultado 2020 jan 30]. Disponível em: http://opss.pt/relatorios/
- relatorio-primavera-2019/.
- Van Straten A, van der Zweerde T, Kleiboer A, Cuijpers P, Morin CM, Lancee J. Cognitive and behavioral therapies in the treatment of insomnia: a meta – analysis. Sleep Med Rev. 2018;38:3-16.
- Janto K, Prichard JR, Pusalavidyasagar S. An update on dual orexin receptor antagonists and their potential role in insomnia therapeutics. J Clin Sleep Med. 2018;14:1399–408.

João Machado NOGUEIRA⊠¹, Rui RIBEIRO¹, António GAMITO¹

1. Centro Hospitalar de Setúbal. Setúbal. Portugal.

Autor correspondente: João Machado Nogueira. joaocarlosmachadonogueira@gmail.com Recebido: 02 de março de 2020 - Aceite: 02 de março de 2020 | Copyright © Ordem dos Médicos 2020 <a href="https://doi.org/10.20344/amp.13669">https://doi.org/10.20344/amp.13669</a>

