Penicillin Allergy: The Impact of a False Diagnosis

Alergia à Penicilina: O Impacto de um Falso Diagnóstico
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To the Editor

Penicillin is commonly involved in immediate and late drug hypersensitivity reactions. However, the rate of self-reported allergy largely exceeds the true allergy frequency. The false diagnosis results in worse consequences than initially would be expected affecting the quality and raising the cost of healthcare services by 63%.1, 2 Furthermore, the alternative antibiotics are, in most cases, second line alternatives, implying worse efficacy and inducing unnecessary bacterial resistances.3, 4 In addition, this drug allergy is the easiest to confirm and the cost associated with the study is significantly lower than that associated with a false diagnosis.1, 4

We conducted a study that included 680 patients hospitalized in the Internal Medicine department of a Portuguese district hospital, with 40 patients claiming to be allergic to penicillin. Table 1 shows data concerning the patients’ demographics, infectious diseases requiring antibiotic therapy and antibiotics used as second-line treatment, as well as allergy history.

Sixty five percent of allergic reactions had occurred more than 30 years before and half of the patients could not describe the reaction. The most mentioned reaction was cutaneous (urticaria, angioedema or exanthema). Second or third generation cephalosporins were the most commonly chosen alternative to treat penicillin allergic patients, and were well tolerated in every case.

The allergy work-up confirmed the allergy in only two patients, thus showing that penicillin allergy is overreported by hospitalized patients in an Internal Medicine department. These results are in line with the international data that shows that penicillin allergy in hospitalized patients is only confirmed in 1% – 10% of the cases.5 It is worth mentioning that 24 did not finish the work-up mainly due to poor mobility associated with age and chronic disease (75.6 ± 11.4 years, with the majority suffering from chronic debilitating diseases).

It must be remembered that not only knowing the characteristics of the allergic reaction is essential in order to carry out an adequate allergy work-up but also that most people lose their penicillin allergy over time.

We highlight the need to confirm any suspected penicillin allergic reaction as early as possible. Clinicians in general and family physicians in particular should be aware of this need and not delay the opportunity to make an accurate diagnosis.
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