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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Polypharmacy is often observed in elderly patients and is associated with an increased risk of adverse drug reactions, side effects and interactions. Clinicians should be alert to inappropriate drug prescribing and reduce polypharmacy.

Material and Methods: Observational, longitudinal, retrospective and descriptive study in an internal medicine ward in a Portuguese hospital. Polypharmacy was defined as the use of five or more different medicines. The purpose of this study was to describe the prevalence of polypharmacy and inappropriate prescribing at admission and discharge in an internal medicine ward, according to deprescribing.org guidelines/algorithms. A total of 838 consecutive patients were admitted between January and July 2017. All patients were aged under 65 years old, and those who died before discharge were excluded. Patients' medications were reviewed from a medical database at hospital admission and discharge. We examined whether patients were taking anticoagulants, proton pump inhibitors, benzodiazepines, antipsychotics and/or antihyperglycemic medication.

Results: A total of 483 patients were included, mean age was 79.2 ± 8.0 years, and 42% of patients were male. Median number of medications at admission and discharge was six. Polypharmacy was present in more than 70% of admitted patients. Proton pump inhibitors were the most common inappropriate prescription at discharge (17.2%).

Discussion: This study demonstrated a low use of inappropriate medicine (11.2% - 17.2%) in older people discharged from hospital, when compared to other studies.

Conclusion: Our study shows that polypharmacy is present in more than 70% of elderly admitted patients. Nevertheless, the drug inappropriateness rate was not significantly affected by polypharmacy at both admission and discharge, being overall lower than published data.
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RESUMO

Introdução: A polimedicação é observada nos doentes idosos e está associada a um maior risco de reações adversas, efeitos secundários e interações. Os clínicos devem atentar à prescrição inapropriada e à redução da polimedicação.

Material e Métodos: Estudo observacional, longitudinal, retrospectivo e descritivo, realizado numa enfermaria de medicina interna num hospital português. Definimos a polimedicação como o uso de cinco ou mais medicamentos. O objetivo foi descrever a prevalência da polimedicação e a prescrição inapropriada, na admissão e alta, de acordo com as guidelines/algoritmos definidos em deprescribing.org. Admitimos 838 doentes entre janeiro e julho de 2017. Excluímos todos aqueles com idade inferior a 65 anos e óbitos. A medicação dos doentes foi revista a partir da base de dados hospitalar, à admissão e à data de alta. Examinámos se os doentes estavam a tomar anticoagulantes, inibidores da bomba de prótons, benzodiazepinas, antipsicóticos e/ou anti hiperglicêmicos.

Resultados: Incluímos 483 doentes, com média de idade de 79,2 ± 8,0 anos, e 42% dos quais eram homens. A medicação à admissão e à alta foi seis. A polimedicação estava presente em mais de 70% dos doentes admitidos. Os inibidores da bomba de prótons foram a classe mais inapropriadamente prescrita à data de alta (17,2%).

Discussão: Demonstrámos um uso reduzido de fármacos inapropriados (11,2% - 17,2%) nos idosos, à alta hospitalar, quando comparado com outros estudos.

Conclusão: Demonstrámos que a polimedicação estava presente em mais de 70% dos idosos admitidos. Contudo, a taxa de prescrição inapropriada não afetou significativamente a polimedicação na admissão e na alta, sendo inferior aos dados publicados.
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INTRODUCTION

Mean life expectancy is increasing worldwide due to scientific developments and better disease prevention and treatment. Portugal is currently the fifth leading country in Europe in terms of life expectancy, with a 2016 registered ageing index of 148.7%.

Older people develop more chronic conditions such as arterial hypertension (HTN), cardiac failure, diabetes, dyslipidemia, renal failure, sleeping disorders, neurologic diseases and cancer. Consequently, elderly people require multiple medications.

The term polypharmacy comes from two Greek root words: poly, meaning many, and pharmakeia meaning medicines or drugs. Polypharmacy is defined by the World Health Organization as “the administration of many drugs at the same time or the administration of an excessive number of drugs”. This definition is not clear as it does not refer to a specific number, neither indicates the temporal condition under which polypharmacy is administered simultaneously. Currently, there is no consensus on the medication...
threshold defining polypharmacy. Therefore, in this study we defined polypharmacy if a patient was taking five or more medications, in order to compare with other studies. The notion of polypharmacy is often confused with inappropriate prescription.

Potentially inappropriate medications (PIMs) are defined as drugs with ineffectiveness or high risk–benefit ratio. However, the high prevalence of PIMs should not be only attributed to inappropriate prescribing. Use of PIMs has been correlated with worse outcomes for the elderly population, including an increased risk of adverse drug reactions (ADRs), side effects, interactions, hospitalisation and mortality. ADRs can cause confusion, delirium, falls, hip fractures and a significant proportion of emergency room visits and hospitalisations, which could be avoided. The elderly population, due to their age and chronic conditions, may respond differently to drug or experience more severe ADRs. This can be explained by different pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic characteristics that take place later in life and can significantly contribute to enhanced drug related morbidity and mortality.

Polypharmacy might be a serious public health problem concerning medicine’s direct and indirect costs from drug-related morbidity. Rational drug discontinuation in older adults is a logical approach to mitigate polypharmacy.

Deprescribing is an active review process that prompts the physician to consider which medications have lost their advantage in the risk–benefit trade-off, especially in patients with changing goals of care or limited life expectancy. There is a lack of data regarding the prevalence of polypharmacy in people over 65 years old in Portugal. There are some studies referring a polypharmacy prevalence of 18.8%, 63.3%, 64.8% in a same day center, in two healthcare centers and 4th National Health Survey, respectively. The prevalence of polypharmacy in the elderly population in other countries varies widely, ranging from 21% in Australia, 40% in Sweden, 63% in Canada, to 86% in Korea.

There are plenty of tools to help with medication review and deprescribing such as the Beers criteria, START (screening tool to alert doctors to the right treatment), STOPP, ARMOR (assess, review, minimize, optimize, reassess), ARS (anticholinergic risk scale), geriatric-palliative method, prescribing optimization method, and others. Nevertheless, these tools do not provide guidance or a practical approach to apply in daily clinical practice.

The Portuguese public health authority (Direção Geral da Saúde) has recently issued a recommendation concerning drug reconciliation. Despite these recommendations and tools, there are no guidelines in Portugal or Europe on how clinicians should deprescribe in elderly patients. The Canadian organization Bruyère Research Institute (deprescribing.org) and the Institut Universitaire de Gériatrie de Montréal (Centre de Recherche), provide guidelines and algorithms for deprescribing proton pump inhibitors (PPI), antihyperglycemic agents (AH), antipsychotics (AP) and benzodiazepines (BZ) that can easily be applied to daily clinical practice.

The aim of this study was to describe the prevalence of polypharmacy and inappropriate prescribing at admission and discharge in an internal medicine ward, according to deprescribing.org guidelines/algorithms.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study design and population
This is an observational, longitudinal, retrospective and descriptive study based on the population of an Internal Medicine ward in Hospital Fernando Fonseca (HFF), a public hospital in a Portuguese urban centre (population 600 000).

We used a convenience sample of patients aged 65 years or older who were discharged from an Internal Medicine ward, between January 1st, 2017, and July 31st, 2017. Exclusion criteria included patients whose electronic charts were incomplete or unavailable, and patients who died before discharge.

Data collection
We collected demographic and clinical data from electronic charts regarding all patients involved. We considered the following age range for data analysis: [65 - 75], [76 - 85] and ≥ 86 years old. Clinical variables included information on Barthel Index (BI), comorbidities, drugs, length of stay and readmission within 30 days after discharge (no matter the cause).

BI is an ordinal scale used to measure performance in daily living activities and it is validated to the Portuguese population. The patients’ BI ratings were calculated according to information on nursing notes and records at hospital admission. Total possible scores range from 0 - 100. A patient with a score under 20 is totally dependent, for a score between 20 - 39 is very dependent, 40 - 59 is partially dependent, 60 - 79 is minimally dependent and if it is 80 - 100 the patient is independent.

Polypharmacy was defined as a use of five or more different drugs.

From the 15 most common chronic diseases, we evaluated data records regarding 6 that have major impact on life expectancy: hypertension (HTN), type 2 diabetes mellitus, cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), chronic kidney disease (CKD) and dementia.

We reviewed patients’ medications from a medical database at hospital admission and at discharge according to deprescribing.org guidelines/algorithms of PPI, BZ, AP and AH. We examined if patients were taking any anticoagulant (AC), PPI, BZ, AP and AH.

Outcomes
Primary outcome was defined as the prevalence of polypharmacy and inappropriate prescribing at admission and discharge on this sample, according to deprescribing.org guidelines/algorithms.

Secondary outcomes were readmission rates within 30 days after discharge and its cause (no matter the cause).
Statistical analysis
Discrete variables are presented as absolute frequencies with percentages, and continuous variables as mean ± standard deviation if normally distributed, otherwise as median with interquartile range (IQR). Data was checked for normal distribution using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test.

Baseline characteristics of patients were compared using Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables; parametric data was compared using Students’ t-test; nonparametric data were evaluated using Mann-Whitney U test.

Differences in the proportions of polypharmacy therapy at admission and at discharge were assessed using a two-related groups McNemar test.

All statistical analyses were performed using version 22.0 of SPSS for Windows® (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All tests were bilateral and with a level of significance of 5%.

RESULTS
Study sample
A total of 838 patients were initially evaluated in the internal medicine ward between January and July 2017. All patients were aged under 65 years old, and those who died during their stay in hospital, were excluded. A total of 483 patients were included in our final analysis (Fig. 1).

Mean age was 79.2 ± 8.0 years, and 42% of the patients were male.

Median length of stay was 11.3 (7.5 - 16.9) days, with a maximum hospitalisation of 67 days. Overall, 48% of our patients had HTN, 26% had dementia, 24% type 2 diabetes mellitus, 14% COPD, 12% cancer and CKD was present in 12% of our patients. Patients included in this study had a Barthel index median of 65.

Clinical and demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Drug use and polypharmacy
The median number of medicines (among all taken drugs) at admission and at discharge was similar, 6 [4 - 8]. There were no significant differences in the proportion of patients under polypharmacy therapy at admission (n = 361; 74.7%) and at discharge (n = 339; 70.2%), p = 0.084.

At both admission and discharge, polypharmacy was not significantly associated with age (p = 0.689 and p = 0.670, respectively) or sex (p = 0.596 and p = 1.000, respectively). In this study, PPI was the most prescribed drug (36%), followed by AH (17%), AP (15.9%) and BZD (14.6%).

Overall, polypharmacy was mainly driven by BZD, PPI and AH both at hospital admission and time of discharge (Table 1), with PPI being the most commonly prescribed drug in both instances.

Patients with polypharmacy at admission presented more frequently HTN (51.8% vs 37.7%; p = 0.009), type 2 diabetes mellitus (28.8% vs 11.5%; p < 0.001), COPD (16.3% vs 6.6%; p = 0.006), and CKD (14.1% vs 4.1%; p = 0.002). On the other hand, patients with polypharmacy at discharge exhibited more frequently HTN (55.2% vs 31.9%; p ≤ 0.001) and COPD (15.9% vs 9.0%; p = 0.045). Interestingly, dementia did not significantly affect the decision to use anticoagulants or not (9.5% of patients with dementia were taking anticoagulants versus 11.5%; p = 0.621).

Patients with polypharmacy at both admission and discharge did not have a significantly lower Barthel score in comparison with patients without polypharmacy (at admission, median score of 65 in patients with polypharmacy versus 55 without polypharmacy with p = 0.745 and at discharge, median score of 60 in patients with polypharmacy versus 65 without polypharmacy with p = 0.623).

Inappropriate prescription
The proportion of inappropriate prescription at discharge varied between 11.2% and 17.2%, being higher for PPI and lower for BZD. AH represented 12% of inappropriate prescription at discharge and AP 13.5%.

Unexpectedly, polypharmacy at admission and discharge did not significantly affect drug inappropriateness rate (Table 2).

Patients’ outcomes
At admission, there were no significant differences in length of hospital stay between patients who had polypharmacy (11.3 days) and those who did not have polypharmacy (11.5 days), with a p value of 0.614 (Fig. 2).

Figure 1 – Study population
At discharge, there were no significant differences in length of hospital stay between patients who had polypharmacy (11.3 days) and those who did not have polypharmacy (11.5 days), with a $p$-value of 0.345 (Fig. 3).

Total 30-day readmission rates were low, 2.5% ($n = 12$).

There were no significant differences in 30-day readmission rates in patients with polypharmacy versus no polypharmacy at admission (2.8% vs 1.6%, respectively; $p = 0.739$) or at discharge (2.9% vs 1.4%, respectively; $p = 0.523$).

**DISCUSSION**

There seems to be a slight decrease in polypharmacy, after the age of 85, which corresponds to less than a quarter of this population. We believe this happens because there is a delay in the perception of need for deprescribing until patients get very old. However, in our study, polypharmacy was not significantly associated with age at admission and discharge, ($p = 0.689$ and $p = 0.670$, respectively).

In this study, polypharmacy was similar to what has been previously described in other studies focusing on patients' use of medicines on hospital admission and discharge.

**Table 1 – Clinical and demographic characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years) (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Admission</th>
<th>$p$ value</th>
<th>Discharge</th>
<th>$p$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65 - 75, n (%)</td>
<td>43 (35.2)</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>50 (34.7)</td>
<td>0.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76 - 85, n (%)</td>
<td>44 (36.1)</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>52 (36.1)</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 86, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (26.2)</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>41 (28.5)</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sex**

| Male, n (%) | 54 (44.3) | 0.596 |
| Female, n (%) | 68 (55.7) | 1.000 |

**Chronic conditions**

| Hypertension, n (%) | 46 (37.7) | 0.009 |
| Dementia, n (%)     | 27 (22.1) | 0.284 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 14 (11.5) | <0.001 |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, n (%) | 8 (6.6) | 0.006 |
| Cancer, n (%)       | 18 (14.8) | 0.339 |
| Chronic kidney disease, n (%) | 5 (4.1) | 0.022 |
| Stage II, n (%)     | 1 (0.8) | 0.207 |
| Stage III, n (%)    | 2 (1.6) | 0.010 |
| Stage IV, n (%)     | 2 (1.6) | 1.000 |
| Stage V, n (%)      | 0 | 1.000 |

| Barthel index, median (IQR) | 55 (10 - 100) | 0.745 |
|                           | 65 (10 - 100) | 0.623 |

**Medication**

| Anticoagulants, n (%) | 9 (7.4) | 13 (9.0) |
| BZD, n (%)            | 8 (6.6) | 6 (6.3)  |
| PPI, n (%)            | 33 (27.0) | 29 (20.1) |
| AH, n (%)             | 12 (9.8) | 12 (8.3) |
| AP, n (%)             | 19 (15.6) | 16 (11.1) |

Independent samples Student's $t$-test was used to assess differences between normally distributed variables, whereas Mann-Whitney $U$-test was used to evaluate differences between non-parametric variables. Fisher’s exact test was used to assess differences between discrete variables.

AH: antihyperglycemic; AP: antipsychotic; BZD: benzodiazepine; IQR: interquartile range; PPI: proton pump inhibitor; SD: standard deviation

**Table 2 – Overall drug inappropriateness rate according to polypharmacy at admission and at discharge**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall drug inappropriateness, n (%)*</th>
<th>Admission</th>
<th>$p$ value</th>
<th>Discharge</th>
<th>$p$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall drug inappropriateness</td>
<td>27 (22.1)</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>32 (22.2)</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Overall drug inappropriateness defined as inappropriateness for at least one drug.

Fisher’s exact test was used to assess differences between discrete variables.
issues such as aspiration pneumonia and dementia.

The most prescribed PIM at hospital discharge was PPI, the least prescribed PIM was BZD, which is similar to other studies.

In this study, AH treatment was prescribed in 80 (17%) patients, and polypharmacy was present in 72% and 76% patients in hospital admission and discharge, respectively. This finding was similar to other studies. In 19 patients, there was no risk of hypoglycemia. However, we found it was present in 61 patients who were at risk, or potential risk, of hypoglycemia: 20 patients were taking insulin therapy (biphasic isophane insulin), 12 were taking sulphonylureas (gliclazide), 10 had dementia, eight had CKD, six had possible drug interaction (corticosteroids in five patients and hydrochlorothiazide in one patient) and 10 had low average life expectancy and frailty risk. Physicians should set individualized A1C and blood glucose targets to decrease drug related hypoglycemia risk. In older age, frailty, and multiple comorbidities patients, A1C under 8.5% and blood glucose under 216 mg/dL may be acceptable.

AP prescription was higher than expected according to other studies, probably because of bias on data collection due to incomplete patient records. BZD prescription was similar to other studies.

In comparison to other studies, BI was higher in this study, meaning patients had a greater level of autonomy. However, patients with polypharmacy at admission or discharge did not have a significantly lower BI, in comparison with patients without polypharmacy (at admission, median score of 65 in patients with polypharmacy versus 55 without polypharmacy with \( p = 0.745 \) and at discharge, median score of 60 in patients with polypharmacy versus 65 without polypharmacy with \( p = 0.623 \) - Table 1). Results show that polypharmacy is linked to comorbidities but not to lower autonomy (as inferred from BI). The higher BI is often related to shorter length of stay and polypharmacy side effects may only be present in the ambulatory setting. Given that our patients were less dependent than those who were included in other studies, we may conclude that deprescription is also delayed because of a false perception of less frailty.

Our study demonstrates a lower usage of inappropriate medications in older people discharged from an acute care hospital when compared to published data, although the criteria used to judge the appropriateness of prescribing were different.

Other studies, also regarding the elderly, show a very low prevalence (16% and 25.5%) of inappropriate prescribing in tertiary health care settings, 21.4% in primary care, 51.3% in acute hospital setting (Europe), and a higher prevalence (82.6%) was
observed in a nursing care home.59

There were no significant differences in length of hospital stay between patients who had polypharmacy and those who did not have polypharmacy, at both admission and discharge, which is not consistent with other studies.60

Older adults that use higher numbers of medicines, have increased adverse drug events and increased risk of adverse health outcomes. Decreasing polypharmacy and avoiding inappropriate prescribing therefore constitutes a major goal of care in this population. Clinicians should have an organized approach to systematically review the patient’s therapeutic chart and eliminate unnecessary agents. They should explain to patients and their families why a given medicine may no longer be essential or beneficial according to the present clinical condition. The decision to maintain, reduce or discontinue a drug is based on a balance between its indication and effectiveness and possible harms of use, including actual or potential side effects, drug interactions, pill burden, and costs.

Admission in an internal medicine ward is an important moment to involve physicians, nurses and pharmacist in order to detect if there is any inappropriate prescribing and decrease drug related morbidity.

The present study is retrospective and data collection can cause bias due to the possibility of incomplete patient records. Drug dosage, regime undertaken and other comorbidities/drugs that weren’t registered, could interact with the medicines evaluated. Presence of comorbidities was only ascertained during hospital stay; as such, eventual impact of new diagnoses or previous incorrect diagnoses were not taken into consideration in our analysis.

**CONCLUSION**

Polypharmacy is an important public health problem worldwide.

Decreasing polypharmacy and avoiding inappropriate medicines is a common goal of care in elderly people. Multidisciplinary team effort to do a regular prescription reconciliation and review is the golden rule to identify and reduce drug-related problems. There are tools to help the clinician improve prescribing and decrease high-risk/low-benefit medicines usage. However, a national guideline for deprescribing in Portugal is yet to be made. Future research is needed, focused on clinical, humanistic, and economic effect of deprescribing in the geriatric population.

Our study showed that polypharmacy is present in more than 70% of admitted elderly patients. Deprescribing is probably not a major concern for physicians yet, given the number of medications that remains unchanged between admission and discharge. Nevertheless, polypharmacy
did not significantly affect the drug inappropriateness rate. PPI was the most common inappropriate drug at discharge (17.2%), matching worldwide data.
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