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ABSTRACT

Introduction: To analyze the cesarean section rate evolution in a tertiary hospital and the main indications for cesarean section.

Material and Methods: A retrospective study was conducted at a major academic hospital and included 5 751 women who had a cesarean section from 2005 to 2011. The rates of overall, primary and repeat cesarean sections were analyzed. A linear regression and adjusted R-square were used to access the relative contribution of each indication to the variation in primary cesarean section.

Results: During the 7-year period of the study the cesarean section rate decreased from 30.9% to 27.6%. This was due to a decrease in primary cesarean section (21.9% to 18.2%), although an increase in repeat cesarean section was observed (9.0% to 9.4%). Among the indications for primary cesarean section, maternal-fetal indications and malpresentation were the ones that decreased the most with adjusted R-square of 0.70 and 0.55, respectively.

Discussion: The collected data identified that the decrease in the cesarean section rate at the hospital resulted from a decrease in primary cesarean section deliveries, especially the ones performed for maternal-fetal indications and malpresentation.

Conclusion: The decrease in primary cesarean section rate may be attributed to several changes in medical policies in the Department, such as the implementation of an external fetal version program, the induction of labor only after the 41st week of gestation in low-risk pregnancies and the trial for vaginal birth in maternal-fetal disease. Nevertheless subjective indications such as labor arrest disorders and nonreassuring fetal heart rate are still major contributors for primary cesarean section rate.
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INTRODUCTION

Cesarean section (CS) rates have been rising worldwide over the past decades. Concern regarding this increase is due to potential maternal and perinatal risks, the possibility of obstetric complications in future pregnancies and financial issues. Therefore, there has been an attempt to define the ideal CS rate but there is no general consensus on this issue. In 1985, the World Health Organization recommended that it should not exceed 15%.¹ Nonetheless, differences among countries, primary and tertiary hospitals, such as the resources and the population characteristics, demand that this rate should be adapted to each reality.¹

In Portugal, according to the National Institute of Statistics, the CS rate per live births has increased from 28.0% to 36.4% between 2001 and 2009. The National Health Plan estimates that in 2016 this rate might reach 45.7%.² Several reasons are pointed to contribute to the rising trend of CS: an increase in maternal age, obesity and the presence of other complications, which result in more maternal reasons; the lack of experience of trainees to perform instrumental vaginal deliveries, the sharp decrease in the vaginal birth after cesarean (VBAC) and the fear of litigation.² The increase in the CS rate is also due to an increase

in primary CS, since a first cesarean usually determines that subsequent deliveries will be abdominal deliveries.5

The objectives of this study were to analyze the CS rate evolution in our Department between 2005 and 2011, to identify the factors that contributed to this evolution and the main indications for primary CS deliveries.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We conducted a retrospective study at the Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Medicine of Reproduction of Centro Hospitalar Lisboa Norte (CHLN) – Hospital de Santa Maria (HSM), a tertiary hospital that holds an agreement with the University of Lisbon working as a University/Public Hospital.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the institution.

Data was collected about all the CS performed during a 7-year period (2005-2011) from medical records of the Delivery Room and Postpartum Ward. We included information about parity, previous CS, number of fetus in present gestation (single vs. multiple), and the primary indication for CS.

Rates of overall, primary and repeat CS were calculated for each year. CS rates were calculated as the number of cesarean births divided by total live births. Rates for each primary CS indications were calculated annually as the number of primary CS deliveries performed for each indication per 1,000 eligible live births (adjusted for repeat cesarean delivery rate).

In order to facilitate data analysis we combined indications for CS in nine larger representative categories: labor arrest disorders (including arrest of dilation or descent and failure of a trial for instrumental vaginal delivery), suspected fetal distress (in fetal heart tracings or ultrasound evaluations), malpresentation, macrosomia, multiple gestations, maternal-fetal indications, hypertensive disease in pregnancy, repeat cesarean section and other causes. Malpresentation included breech presentation, nonvertex cephalic presentations and transverse lie. Macrosomia was defined as an estimated fetal weight of more than 4,500 g for non-diabetic women and more than 4,000 g for diabetic women, which were the thresholds for elective CS. Maternal-fetal indications included fetal, maternal or obstetric conditions that contraindicated vaginal birth such as some fetal congenital malformations, cardiac or orthopedic maternal diseases and placenta previa or cord prolapsed. Although hypertensive disease in pregnancy (chronic hypertension predating the pregnancy, preeclampsia and eclampsia) is not an isolated indication for elective CS and the decision should take into account obstetric criteria, it was considered a category because of its high frequency. Other causes included all the indications that could not be grouped into one of the other eight categories, such as failed labor induction.

We calculated the relative variation of primary CS and their indications for each year of the study. We assessed the contribution of each indication to the variation in primary CS linear regression and by calculating the adjusted R-square ($r^2$).

Data analysis was performed using the SPSS version 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

From January 2005 to December 2011 there were a total of 19,471 live births in the Hospital de Santa Maria. Of these 5,751 were delivered by CS (29.5%).

The evolution of the CS delivery rate during that period of time is shown in Fig. 1. The overall CS delivery rate decreased from 30.9% in 2005 to 27.6% in 2011. During the 7-year period of the study, the CS rate decreased each year except for the years 2008 and 2010, when there was a slight increase (from 30.4% in 2007 to 31.0% in 2008 and from 28.2% in 2009 to 28.8% in 2010, Fig. 1).

The main indications for CS were labor arrest disorders and maternal-fetal indications (which contributed to 44% of all CS), followed by repeat CS (20%), malpresentation (13%) and nonreassuring fetal status (12%). Hypertensive disease in pregnancy (chronic hypertension, preeclampsia and eclampsia) accounted for 9.7% of all CS. Other causes included failed labor induction (8.7%) and malpresentation (8.8%)

Figure 1 - Evolution of cesarean delivery rates from 2005 to 2011 (%).
disorders, multiple gestation and suspected macrosomia accounted for about 9% of the CS delivery rate (Fig. 2).

The overall repeat CS rate increased from 9.0% in 2005 to 9.4% in 2011 (Fig. 1). There was an increase of the repeat CS rate from 2006 (8.8%) to 2008 (11.9%), but after that it decreased to 10.6% in 2009 and to 9.4% in 2011.

The primary CS rate decreased steadily from 2005 to 2009, followed by a small increase until 2011 (from 17.6% in 2009 to 18.2% in 2011). Despite this, from 2005 to 2011 there was a decrease in the overall primary CS rate from 21.9% to 18.2%.

Since the primary CS deliveries were responsible for the decrease in the CS rate we analyzed the variation of primary CS performed by all indications (Fig. 3a and 3b). The main indications for primary CS prevailed during this period and were labor arrest disorders, maternal-fetal indications, malpresentation and nonreassuring fetal status. From 2005 to 2011 the primary CS deliveries had a mean annual relative decrease of 2.83% (95% confidence interval [CI] -6.51 to 0.85) (Table 1). Among all the indications for CS, maternal-fetal conditions and malpresentation were the ones that decreased the most with an average annual decrease of 6.40% (95% confidence interval [CI] -16.88 to 4.08) and 1.84% (95% confidence interval [CI] -14.29 to 10.62), respectively. We verified an increase in primary CS performed for hypertensive disorders (average annual increase 10.12% (95% confidence interval [CI] -11.94 to 32.17).

Among the documented causes for primary CS, maternal-fetal indications and malpresentation where the ones which correlated the better with the decrease in the primary CS rate, with adjusted R-square of 0.70 and 0.55, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

According to our data the decrease in the CS rate at our hospital was due to a decrease in primary CS deliveries, especially the ones performed for maternal-fetal indications and malpresentation.

During the study period a series of measures were implemented in our department to decrease the CS rate. These include the practice of external cephalic version (ECV), the induction of labor only after the 41st week of gestation in low-risk pregnancies and the trial of vaginal birth after a cesarean section (VBAC). The continuous training of the residents in the use of forceps and vacuum extractor and a more expectant management of labor may have also contributed to our results.

The decrease of CS performed for maternal-fetal indications might be due to the use of induction of labor for some obstetric indications such as colesthasis of pregnancy, oligoamnios and fetal growth restriction, when there was no contraindication for vaginal delivery. Therefore, by inducing these women in a tertiary center, we ensured that labor would occur with maximum support of the obstetric team and allowed a vaginal birth.

The reduction of CS delivery for malpresentation could be due to the practice of external cephalic version. In our Department, the procedure is done after the 36th week and the success rate of ECV is 44.4%.7

The criteria for diagnosing labor arrest disorders in the first and second stage of labor remains controversial.3 8
During the study period, the relative contribution of labor arrest disorders for CS has decreased. We were not able to verify which CS were performed for arrest of dilation, a more subjective indication, or arrest of descent. However, a more expectant management of labor in order to achieve vaginal delivery could explain this decrease.8-10 This may be important since many women may not be in active phase until 6 cm of dilatation.8,11 Furthermore, we frequently used oxytocin whether to induce or enhance labor, while continuously monitoring the fetal heart rate. Also, when indicated, we performed instrumental deliveries in cases of arrest of descend to avoid potential risks associated with full dilatation CS.3

Cesarean deliveries performed for macrosomia have had a mean annual decrease of only 0.32%. Although there has been a great variation through the years in the CS performed for macrosomia, the overall relative decrease of CS due to macrosomia might be because physicians follow specific criteria to deliver suspected macrosomic fetus.

During the time period of our study, CS performed for nonreassuring fetal status, hypertensive disorders, and multiple gestations have increased. The high variability in the interpretation of fetal heart tracings and fear of litigation could have influenced the decision for a CS in these situations. As for preeclampsia, international guidelines state that labor should be induced, unless there is any obstetric indication for CS.12,13 Still, the relative contribution of these indications for primary CS rate might indicate the use of CS rather than induction of labor to manage these situations. The increasing incidence of twins and higher-order multiple gestations over the past years due to medically assisted reproductive techniques might explain the increase in the
### Table 1 - Primary Cesarean Delivery Rates per 1,000 eligible births and Mean Annual Increase by Indication from 2005 to 2011 (Adjusted for Repeat Cesarean Delivery Rate)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005 (n = 2,698)</th>
<th>2006 (n = 2,549)</th>
<th>2007 (n = 2,522)</th>
<th>2008 (n = 2,503)</th>
<th>2009 (n = 2,461)</th>
<th>2010 (n = 2,362)</th>
<th>2011 (n = 2,429)</th>
<th>Absolute Increase 2005 - 2011 †</th>
<th>Mean Annual Increase (%(95% CI))‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary cesarean deliveries</td>
<td>240.5</td>
<td>227.5</td>
<td>230.0</td>
<td>216.9</td>
<td>197.1</td>
<td>202.4</td>
<td>201.3</td>
<td>-39.2</td>
<td>-2.83 (-6.51-0.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor arrest disorders</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>-0.41 (-6.71-5.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal-fetal‖</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>-24.2</td>
<td>-6.40 (-16.88-4.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malpresentation§</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>-7.3</td>
<td>-1.84 (-14.29-10.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonreassuring fetal status</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>1.53 (-13.95-17.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE, E, HELLP¶</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10.12 (-11.94-32.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Gestation</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.78 (-12.77-16.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspected macrosomia**</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>-0.32 (-34.28-33.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others††</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>-7.81 (-52.75-37.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; † Difference in primary cesarean rate per 1,000 eligible births (rate in 2011 minus rate in 2003); ‡ Estimated mean annual increase calculated by linear regression using data from all years; † Includes fetal, maternal or obstetric conditions that contraindicate vaginal birth; § Includes breech presentation, nonvertex cephalic presentations and transverse lie; ¶ PE, preeclampsia; E, eclampsia; HELLP, hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes and low platelets; ** Suspected macrosomia based on ultrasound; †† Other medical reason, such as failed labor induction.
CS performed for these indications. Finally, from 2005 to 2011 we verified an increase in the repeat cesarean rate, despite there is general consensus that the benefits of VBAC outweigh the risk of repeated CS in most women. Since it is a safe procedure when performed in selected patients, we offered VBAC to all pregnant women who were eligible for a trial of labor. Nonetheless, we did not induce labor in women with a prior abdominal delivery and a CS was performed systematically after the 41th week of pregnancy if labor didn’t occur spontaneously. This might explain the increase in the repeated CS.

As this is a retrospective study and data was collected from medical records, there could have been errors in the classification of CS. We used a classification based on the indications to the CS to analyze the specific contribution of each indication to the variation in the CS rate. However, this is a highly subjective classification system and CS might have been performed for more than one indication. Other classification systems could have been used such as the ten-group classification, proposed by Robson, which is useful to assess the characteristics of the women that contribute the most to the CS rate. Also, we could not analyze the demographic and obstetrics characteristics of our population. The data collected represents a single institution in Portugal so cannot be generalized to other populations.

CONCLUSION

Despite the mentioned limitations, this study is important as it reflects the efforts of one-single institution in reducing CS rates. Avoiding the first CS increases the probability of a vaginal birth in a subsequent pregnancy and decreases the adverse events associated with cesarean delivery. Although this study was not able to assess the causal effect of all the measures implemented in our department to decrease CS rates, we could infer that they had a beneficial impact in the CS rate. Practice in operative deliveries, vaginal twin deliveries and external cephalic version should continue and VBAC should be offered to selected patients. Efforts should be done to improve the interpretation of fetal heart tracings, to assess labor arrest disorders and to estimate fetal weight accurately. Hypertensive disorders should be managed correctly and elective CS should be performed only if induction of labor is contraindicated. Regular audits must be made to assess whether abdominal deliveries were appropriated in each case.

Although it is important to reduce CS rates in order to improve maternal and neonatal outcomes, CS rates that are too low may be associated to increased adverse events, especially when we consider tertiary and referral centers, such as our institution. Therefore, caution should be taken when considering a 15% CS rate, as this may be too low. Finally, it is important to involve the patient in all medical decisions whenever possible and to increase patient education in order to avoid litigation.
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